

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

James and Brenda Greer

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552

Re: RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community, and I am sending this letter on behalf of the [Cypress Greens Golf and Tennis] Community Homeowners' Association, which represents [239] owners of manufactured homes in our community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. Our HOA members and I are adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of our homes. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, and on behalf our HOA members, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



[Name]

President, [Community Name] Homeowners Association

JAMES K. DRISSEC
PRESIDENT
CYPRESS GREENS GOLF COMMUNITY HOA.

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Jack W. Carter #4
Jean E. Carter #4

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Irene Ramsay". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John Kammay". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the right.

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Margaret R. Helms". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paul Holmes". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a large initial "P".

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs' duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Alfred M. Pollard", with a large, stylized flourish extending from the end of the signature.

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Linda Carter". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned to the right of the word "Sincerely,".

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be the name of the sender, written over the word 'Sincerely,'.

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,


June A. Steele

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,


Lola Chapman
216 Cypress Green

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Bobby D Chapman
216 MYRTLEWAY
CYPRESS GREENS

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Walter T. Pappas
Marcia Pappas

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,


Lot 199

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



49

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Bette N. Pettenzi #12

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,


Linda Huttenlocher
Jul 199

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Janet D. Shuey". The signature is fluid and cursive, written over a light blue horizontal line.

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,


232 Gun Ln.
Lake Alfred, Fl. 33850

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Robert J. Magliola". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, prominent initial "R".

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Robert Schell
Donatella Schell

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



LEWIS G ALTWATER

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Wayne R. Huey". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping initial 'W'.

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Juan C. Adamski". The signature is written in dark ink on a white background.

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,


7/19/2010

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Joan J. Bookmeier
7/19/2010

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Howell W. Parker". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large, sweeping flourish at the end.

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Frank and Cathy Larkin

37 Creek Circle, Lake Alfred, FL 33850



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paul Butler". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "P".

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.



In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely, 


Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,
Wanda Mowen

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely, 

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Alfred M. Pollard". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, circular initial "A".

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,
James Max and Sharon Hollon

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Mathew & Jose Cason
178 Cypress Way
Lake Alfred, FL

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Norma Galen". The signature is written in black ink on a white background.

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Homer Fisher". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned at the bottom of the letter, below the word "Sincerely,".

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Kenneth A. Conklin
Joan L. Conklin

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Yiota Bertrand

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mr. & Mrs. Robert J. Davis". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Amos T. Ely Jr

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Yrsula Pappas
116 Cypress Way
Lake Alfred, FL
33850

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Patricia J. Howe". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned below the typed name "Patricia J. Howe".

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,



Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.

Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Alice Sutton". The signature is written in black ink on a white background.

Mr. Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel
Federal Housing Finance Agency
1700 G Street, N.W. Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20552
EMAIL: RegComments@fhfa.gov
Subject Line: RIN 2590-AA27

Re: Duty to Serve Manufactured Housing Industry RIN 2590-AA27

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am one of the roughly 10.8 million people who own and live in a manufactured home and reside in a manufactured home land-lease community. Please consider this letter as a response to the Enterprise Duty to Serve Underserved Markets Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Request for Comments (RIN 2590-AA27) released June 7, 2010 by the FHFA.

In its proposed rule, FHFA indicates that it will not consider supporting manufactured home personal property loans. I am adversely affected by this proposal. Manufactured housing is a key segment of the housing market. Without manufactured housing, millions of families would not even have access to the American goal –and dream – of owning a single-family home. FHFA's initial decision to exclude personal property lending considerations from the GSEs' duty to serve denies millions of Americans the opportunity for homeownership.


Access to a personal property loan is already very difficult to obtain, so participation by the GSEs in this market is critical not only to ensure opportunities for homeownership, but also to provide financing for buyers when existing homeowners need to sell their homes. Market values for resale manufactured homes are severely depressed today because of the lack of available financing, and many families like mine have been hurt as a result. In many cases, families that needed to move for family, health, job, or economic reasons have been unable to sell their homes at any price due to the lack of available financing. **Denying us financing opportunities is unfair and can severely reduce the value of my home. It can destroy equity many have worked so hard to build.**

As a taxpayer, I appreciate the concerns raised by FHFA to ensure the GSEs remain economically viable institutions and that adequate consumer protections are in place. But FHFA and the GSEs also have an obligation to serve manufactured housing and the 10.8 million Americans that currently reside in manufactured home land-lease communities. I believe the manufactured housing industry stands ready to address personal property lending issues identified by FHFA in the proposed rule in a substantive and productive manner that results in economically viable programs that also serve these woefully underserved markets.

The proposal by FHFA fails to serve the families who enjoy the benefits and the ability to live in manufactured homes and manufactured home communities. As a manufactured homeowner, I urge FHFA to amend its proposed rule to also consider manufactured home personal property loans as part of the GSEs duty to serve.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Bill & Bobby Wemy". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.