From: Natalie Marie [buymosaic@yahoo.com] Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2010 12:27 PM

To: !FHFA REG-COMMENTS

Subject: Guidance on Private Transfer Fee Covenants No. 2010-N-11

Dear Mr. Pollard,

I ask that you reject the proposed guidance, for the following reasons:

- 1. Despite widespread use of transfer fees for decades, there is no evidence of harm to consumers or lenders.
- 2. Passing the guidance will negatively impact millions of homeowners, who will find their property ineligible for low-cost financing.
- 3. Transfer fees are voluntary. No one is forced to pay the fee and they are easily recognizable through proper disclosure.
- 4. Developers use capital recovery fees to spread infrastructure costs. There is nothing unfair or inequitable about this practice. It is analogous to using road bonds to pay for roads.
- 5. Developers sell off the income stream (e.g. a development bond), and use the proceeds to PAY OFF LOANS, REDUCE NEGATIVE EQUITY, and RESTART FAILED PROJECTS CREATING JOBS. In return, homeowners pay less up front.
- 6. HOAs use transfer fees to lower quarterly dues. Non-profits use the income to provide important community benefits.
- 7. These fees are embedded within deed restrictions, and cannot be easily removed in most cases if at all. Some fees (to charity) run in perpetuity.
- 8. If the issue is protecting Fannie and Freddie, there is no basis for eliminating the use by subdivision developers. Lender liability bears no relationship to the ultimate use of the fee.
- 9. The guidance is being pushed almost exclusively by two special interest groups well known for lobbying hard for lax lending standards and subprime loans in pursuit of profits.
- 10. The guidance will not stop developers from using the fee. It will simply saddle homeowners with higher interest payments.
- 11. The bond market has dried up and is not coming back for years to come. This market was used
- to finance infrastructure improvements to amortize costs and make homes and $\operatorname{commercial}$

space more affordable to consumers.

- 12. The arguments of ALTA & NAR are not valid. These organizations have no ownership interest
- in property, yet they seek to dictate the terms of sale.
- 13. I have been a Real Estate Broker in the State of California for eight (8) years and licensed to sell

real estate in California for 10 years. Private transfer fees will not hinder the real estate market. The fee

will make housing more affordable and benefit homebuyers. Passing measures like the Guidance on

Private Transfer Fees, will just cut off finance that would benefit our real estate economy.

Sincerely,

Natalie M. Sjaardema

California Real Estate Broker Lic.#01280096 50855 Washington Street #216 La Quinta, CA 92253 760-285-3002 Cell 866-380-3924 Fax

This electronic message and any attachments contain confidential and privileged information intended for the sole use of the intended recipient, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you should immediately delete this message and any attachments. Any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.