

From: Johnsonwindsor [johnsonwindsor@aol.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2010 4:18 PM  
To: !FHFA REG-COMMENTS  
Subject: Guidance on Private Transfer Fee Covenants No. 2010-N-11

Dear Mr. Pollard-

Please reject the proposed guidance on Private Transfer Fee Covenants No. 2010-N-11. The selling of transfer fees to investors will inject much-needed liquidity into our economy in a legitimate, free-market-driven way. No tax increases. No increased federal deficit. No printing of paper money. These dollars will come from the sale of legitimate, existing property rights to willing investors. The resulting liquidity will help to create jobs, heal troubled banks and developments and generally provide an important stimulus to our struggling economy. This is a brilliant mechanism that this administration can employ to help pump cash into our troubled economy. Please do not allow a couple of special interests to deprive our nation of the healing impact this mechanism can provide.

America needs you to reject the proposed guidance.

I also ask you to consider the following reasons for your rejection of the proposed guidance;

1. Despite widespread use of transfer fees for decades, there is no evidence of harm to consumers or lenders.
2. Passing the guidance will negatively impact millions of homeowners, who will find their property ineligible for low-cost financing.
3. Transfer fees are voluntary. No one is forced to pay the fee and they are easily recognizable through proper disclosure.
4. Developers use capital recovery fees to spread infrastructure costs. There is nothing unfair or inequitable about this practice. It is analogous to using road bonds to pay for roads.
5. Developers sell off the income stream (e.g. a development bond), and use the proceeds to PAY OFF LOANS, REDUCE NEGATIVE EQUITY, and RESTART FAILED PROJECTS - CREATING JOBS. In return, homeowners pay less up front.
6. HOAs use transfer fees to lower quarterly dues. Non-profits use the income to provide important community benefits.
7. These fees are embedded within deed restrictions, and cannot be easily removed in most cases - if at all. Some fees (to charity) run in perpetuity.
8. If the issue is protecting Fannie and Freddie, there is no basis for eliminating the use by subdivision developers. Lender liability bears no relationship to the ultimate use of the fee.
9. The guidance is being pushed almost exclusively by two special interest groups well known for lobbying hard for lax lending standards and subprime loans in pursuit of profits.
10. The guidance will not stop developers from using the fee. It will simply saddle homeowners with higher interest payments.

Sincerely,

Paul Johnson  
Utah Long-Term Investments, LLC  
99 E. State Street, Suite 200

Eagle, ID 83616  
Tel: 801-377-3100  
Fax: 801-377-3777  
johnsonwindsor@aol.com