From: Michael Villarreal < Michael. Villarreal @house.state.tx.us>

Sent: Tuesday, March 20, 2012 3:26 PM

To: !FHFA REG-COMMENTS Subject: RIN2590-AA53

March 20, 2012

Mr. Alfred Pollard General Counsel Federal Housing Finance Agency 400 7th St., N.W. Washington, DC 20024

RE: RIN 2590-AA53 Mortgage Assets Affected by PACE Programs

Dear Mr. Pollard:

As a Texas State Representative and the author of our state's Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) statute, I recommend that the FHFA adopt reasonable underwriting standards that ensure local PACE programs are designed to maximize benefit and minimize risk.

In 2009 I passed a bi-partisan bill, HB 1937, to authorize Texas cities to establish PACE programs. PACE has attracted a broad coalition of supporters in Texas because of the potential for reducing our reliance on imported fuel, promoting energy security, avoiding the cost of building new power plants and transmission systems, protecting the environment, saving homeowners money, creating local jobs and dramatically reducing energy use. Following passage of our bill, several major Texas cities -- including my hometown of San Antonio -- expressed strong interest in developing such a program and began to explore how they would establish a local PACE program. Unfortunately, FHFA's action to block PACE programs throughout the country brought this process to a halt.

I recommend that the FHFA adopt reasonable underwriting standards that ensure local PACE programs are designed to maximize benefit and minimize risk, as described below.

1) PACE assessments are valid - and are not "loans" as asserted by FHFA

FHFA has repeatedly referred to PACE assessments as "loans." To the contrary, they are property tax assessments with characteristics similar to those of more than 37,000 other land-secured special assessment districts in the United States that are rooted in hundreds of years of state and local law. Such districts are typically created at the voluntary behest of property owners who vote to allow their local governments to finance public improvements such as sewer systems, sidewalks, lighting, parks, open space acquisitions, and business improvements on their behalf. Other districts allow property owners to act voluntarily and individually to adopt municipally financed improvements to their property that are repaid with assessments. PACE districts are similar to many other special assessment districts as well, in the size of their assessments and length of their repayment period.

2) PACE assessments present minimal risks to lenders, investors, homeowners and GSEs

FHFA asserts that PACE presents "significant safety and soundness" concerns, but there is no evidence that this is true. There is long-standing experience, borne out by studies, that energy efficiency and renewable energy improvements reduce homeowners' energy bills and increase their property's value, strengthening their financial position and increasing the value of a lender's collateral. PACE financed improvements allow homeowners to hedge themselves against fuel price spikes and rising fuel costs over time. These factors lessen, if not eliminate, the safety and soundness risk than the FHFA has

asserted. Local governments that established PACE programs prior to the July 6, 2010 action by FHFA developed program standards to protect lenders and consumers. The White House (October 18, 2009) and the Department of Energy (May 7, 2010) both published national PACE guidelines with clear, strong underwriting standards to ensure that homeowners are able to afford the improvements. A bi-partisan bill in the House of Representatives (HR 2599 – Hayworth R-NY19) further delineates national standards to minimize risk to lenders and consumers. Finally, the early results of PACE pilot programs in Boulder County, CO; Sonoma County and Palm Desert, CA; and Babylon, NY; show that PACE presents minimal risk: there are only a handful of known defaults out of nearly 3,200 upgraded properties, substantially fewer than the rate of default for non-PACE property-owners in the same districts.

## 3) Home energy improvements financed with PACE achieve important economic and environmental benefits

State and local governments have also passed PACE laws because PACE has great potential to help governments attain important economic and environmental goals. For example, according to a May 2011 Department of Energy study, the Boulder County PACE program created over 120 jobs, generated more than \$20 million in overall economic activity and reduced consumers' energy use by more than \$125,000 in the first year alone. These benefits are important by themselves. In developing a rule that serves the public interest, the FHFA must weigh perceived risks against economic benefits that clearly reduce default rates.

## 4) Proposed Rule

I strongly urge you to reconsider your blanket opposition to PACE programs. WI recommend that FHFA adopt a rule stipulating that Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and any other mortgage lenders regulated by FHFA be allowed to buy residential mortgages with PACE assessments that are originated by programs that conform to standards and guidelines such as those established in HR 2599 (The PACE Assessment Protection Act) to protect the interests of local governments, homeowners, mortgage lenders and Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSEs).

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this important program. Please feel free to contact me anytime at 512-463-0532 to discuss this matter further.

Sincerely,

Mike Villarreal Texas State Representative