From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments:	Greg Ryan <gryan@readingcoop.com> Wednesday, October 30, 2013 12:50 PM !FHFA REG-COMMENTS RIN 2590-AA43 Greg Ryan.vcf</gryan@readingcoop.com>
October 28, 2013	
Re: Credit Risk Retention	on Re-Proposal RIN 2590-AA43
Dear Sirs and Madam:	
Reserve System (the Boar Commission (SEC), Fede Development (HUD) (col to implement § 941 of the	Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), Board of Governors of the Federal rd), Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), Securities and Exchange ral Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), and the Department of Housing and Urban lectively, the Agencies) jointly issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (the Proposal Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank or the retention including the Qualified Residential Mortgage (QRM).
push-back, the Agencies i	osal of a proposed rule issued in the spring of 2011 on this subject. After intense re-proposed the rule in 2013. The 2013 re-proposal represents an improvement from gns the QRM definition with the QM standard finalized earlier this year by the CFPB
	ate, the QM definition sets forth a rigorous standard for sustainable mortgage lending vers' ability to repay and significantly lowers delinquencies and defaults;
	nd QM definitions will allow a greater number of borrowers to benefit from lower ng from greater access to the private investor market, as well as safer and more

- Aligning the QRM definition with the QM standard will streamline the regulatory burden on an industry where the costs of regulation have become a great concern; and
- The respective legislative intent of QRM and QM are well satisfied by the Agencies adoption of the same definition.

Despite the improvements, we have several concerns with the re-proposal. In particular, we are deeply concerned with the Alternative QM-Plus Approach. This Alternative would require a loan qualifying for the QRM exemption to have a 30% down payment and subject the borrower to onerous credit history requirements. The following are just some of the arguments against the Alternative:

- The Alternative's inclusion of a down payment requirement is inconsistent with the legislative intent;
- The Alternative restricts too many consumers' access to the most affordable credit available;
- The Alternative would exclude a greater number of minority borrowers from the most competitive loans than the Preferred Approach;
- The Alternative is unnecessary because the investor market can easily ascertain and price transparent credit attributes like loan-to-value ratio (LTV);
- The Alternative will raise costs to borrowers. Consumers who do not qualify for QRM will pay higher prices for ever-scarcer private label credit; and
- The Alternative of a more restrictive QRM will increase Government and agency involvement in the mortgage market when the Government's footprint and risk should be reduced.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide you with our comments. Please contact us if you have any questions or if we can provide additional information.

Sincerely, Reading Co-operative Bank

Gregory M. Ryan

Senior Vice President

Gregory M. Ryan SVP Commercial Lending NMLS #402367 Reading Co-Operative Bank 180 Haven Street Reading, MA 01867 1-781-942-5006, Ext. 147