

June 11, 2018

Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel Attention: Comments/RIN 2590-AA83 Federal Housing Finance Agency 400 Seventh Street, SW, Eighth Floor Washington, D.C. 20219

Re: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Request for Comments – RIN 2590-AA83 – Affordable Housing Program Amendments

Mr. Pollard,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on your recent release of proposed rulemaking regarding the Affordable Housing Program ("AHP") of the Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLBanks). I am presently the Director of Housing & Project Management at NewCourtland Senior Services (NewCourtland), a non-profit headquartered in Philadelphia, PA that has previously sponsored AHP projects through FHLBank Pittsburgh.

AHP enables NewCourtland to take on challenging projects, such as the rehabilitation of a vacant brownfield site into affordable housing and a LIFE Center for low-income, frail seniors at the Allegheny Campus. Pennsylvania has a dearth of gap financing opportunities, despite the critical need for affordable housing throughout the state. Without AHP funding, many of our projects, especially our 4% Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) projects, would not be viable. Actions that result in making the AHP program less responsive to local needs and encourage unsustainable underwriting, will hinder affordable housing production.

We are concerned with the outcomes framework as proposed in the AHP regulation amendments. The outcomes-based framework prioritizes the Federal Housing Finance Agency's (FHFA's) overall housing goals. The unintended consequence of this approach is that the proposed outcomes establish preferences for certain project types, lessen AHP's connection to community development, and make AHP less transparent.

Housing sponsors/developers manage multiple layers of capital and operating financing that take years to assemble. Developers must blend AHP into the total financial package while dealing with the complexities of real estate development. This makes it imperative for funding to be as streamlined, transparent and operationally efficient as possible. The outcomes framework as proposed in the amendments introduces a complex award structure that makes the AHP process unclear and ultimately a less-attractive funding resource. A scoring-based system, which has worked well for 28 years, is strongly preferred over an outcomes-based framework and will allow FHLBanks to encourage all project types to apply, connect AHP to community development strategies and maintain program transparency.

We also have the following additional concerns about the proposed amendments:

 The proposed amendments change the threshold amount needed for projects to qualify as serving targeted populations from 20 percent to 50 percent. This new threshold is not compatible with other funders and does not recognize the benefit of a mixed-occupancy development, which allows developers to cross-subsidize units in a project. We recommend retaining the current 20-percent threshold amount.



- Under the proposed amendments, AHP project modifications may be delayed, and AHP sponsors unduly burdened, due to a new "cure-first" requirement. We recommend that the proposed cure-first requirement be eliminated and the FHLBanks retain their current practice of verifying that any modified project would still have scored high enough in the funding round to receive the AHP award had the sponsor applied for AHP funding with the modifications in place.
- The proposed amendments require FHLBanks to evaluate the ability of the sponsor and all members of
 the development team to perform the responsibilities committed to in the application. The entire
 development team may not be in place at the time of AHP application, making it impossible to assess
 total capacity. We recommend retaining the FHLBanks' current practice of reviewing the prior
 experience of the development team.
- The proposed amendments eliminate the five-year retention requirement for homeownership
 projects. Although this is a beneficial change in most instances, it introduces a risk of misuse in certain
 situations when the AHP per-unit amount is relatively high that FHLBanks need to have the flexibility to
 address. We recommend allowing FHLBanks the discretion to impose a retention requirement.
- The "need for subsidy" and "project costs" sections of the proposed amendments do not specifically allow for the maximization of coordination with other funding sources. Requiring an FHLBank to independently underwrite a project's need for subsidy and viability is unnecessary and increases the burden on sponsors in cases where other funding sources have already underwritten the project. We recommend allowing FHLBanks to rely on the underwriting of other funders with comparable standards in terms of cost reasonableness, viability of operations, development team capacity and need for subsidy.
- The amendments require rental projects with supportive services to create two operating pro formas: one for housing operations and the other for supportive services. The requirement causes projects to arbitrarily separate costs and funding streams. We recommend allowing projects to include supportive services in a project's operating pro forma.

NewCourtland's mission is to help seniors live independently for as long as possible. We provide robust supportive services and community activities in our housing. We carefully underwrite our operating budget to reflect overall housing operations. Creating two separate budgets would not provide useful information to FHLB or reflect the reality of our projects. We strongly support the submission of one operating pro forma.

We commend FHFA for working to update the AHP regulation. However, considering the concerns above, we respectfully ask that you reconsider parts of the proposed amendments, especially the required outcomes framework. Thank you for hearing our ideas on this very important subject. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at jungar@newcourtland.org.

Sincerely

Director of Housing & Project Management

NewCourtland Senior Services