

LINCOLN SAVINGS BANK USB FINANCIAL

November 5, 2014

Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel Attention: Comments/RIN 2590-AA39 Federal Housing Finance Agency 400 Seventh Street SW Washington, D.C. 20024

Re: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Request for Comments- Members of the FHLBanks (RIN 2590-AA39)

Dear Mr. Pollard:

I am writing to express my concerns about the notice of proposed rulemaking regarding membership eligibility in Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLBanks) put forward by the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA). The proposed rule includes significant and unnecessary changes to long-standing membership rules for the FHLBank system. The proposed changes are inconsistent with Congressional intent and the Federal Home Loan Bank Act (FHLBank Act). For these reasons Lincoln Savings Bank vehemently opposes this proposed rule.

I am Emily Girsch, CFO of Lincoln Savings Bank "LSB". Our institution provides lending across all of our community with a focus on Ag, Commercial and Residential Mortgage. LSB has been around 1902 and started as small family owned Ag Bank in rural Iowa. We are now approaching \$800 million in assets with soon to be 17 branch locations and 250 employee-owners. We are still proud of our Ag roots and are known as a large Ag lender in Iowa. We've expanded into Iowa metropolitan areas and have become a strong commercial lender as well. We have a growing mortgage division, primarily selling Ioans to the secondary market and send roughly \$200 million in volume through the secondary market each of the last 3 years. We use the FHLB's MPF program quite extensively and have found that to be an excellent partnership. We have specifically used FHLB advances to fund ag real estate programs, multi-family housing projects, and to properly balance our interest-rate risk for longer term consumer ARMs which we hold in our portfolio.

The proposed regulation on FHLB membership creates many concerns for our bank. While my bank would meet the proposed rule requirements of the proposed rule today, I feel that the rule establishes a problematic precedent. There remains a distinct chance that at some point in the future, due prudent management of interest rate risk, economic or regulatory changes, our institution could fail the test. Our bank should be free to manage our balance sheets in light of what's best for us, not the demands of a regulator. Not to mention, the proposed regulation conflicts with concerns from financial regulators that financial institutions reduce holdings of long-term fixed rate mortgages and sell them in the secondary market.

It is also crucial to point out that more than 25 years ago, Congress made it clear that community financial institutions (CFIs) such as my bank may use advances for purposes other than residential



LINCOLN SAVINGS BANK LSB RINANCIAL

housing finance. It remains the intent of Congress today that CFIs may utilize FHLBank liquidity for commercial real estate, small business, agricultural real estate and agricultural operating loans. This fact alone highlights how this proposed rule runs counter to existing federal statute.

Broadly speaking, the FHLB Des Moines serves as a critical source of liquidity for financial institutions in lowa, Minnesota, Missouri, North and South Dakota. They have proven to be a reliable and competitive source of liquidity for all of our financing needs in all economic environments. This rule, if adopted, would remove the certainty that the FHLB Des Moines can be counted on to be a reliable source of liquidity in all market conditions.

The on-going mortgage asset test requirements will artificially distort balance sheet management practices, decreasing the flexibility of community banks, credit unions and insurance companies to manage their balance sheets in response to changing market conditions.

Your agency's proposed rules could fundamentally change how, or even whether, a depository financial institution such as ours could remain a member of a FHLB Des Moines. This is enormously disturbing. Confidence trust and reliability comprise the bedrock upon which our long-time FHLB membership is built. We need to know that the FHLB Des Moines can provide funding on a moment's notice as it did in the recent financial crisis.

Because the proposals would harm FHLBank members and hurt housing, credit and economic growth, we ask that the FHFA withdraw the new membership rules contained in its September 12, 2014 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and work with FHLB members to preserve the FHLBs as a reliable partner of its members that benefits local lending institutions, communities, housing, homeownership and the nation's economy.

Sincerely,

Emily J. Girsch, CPA, MBA EVP/Chief Financial Officer

Lincoln Savings Bank