

October 28, 2014

Alfred M. Pollard, Esq., General Counsel Attention: Comments/RIN 2590-AA39 Federal Housing Finance Agency 400 Seventh Street SW, Eighth Floor Washington, D.C. 20024

Re: <u>Notice of Proposed Rulemaking; Request for Comments – Members of the Federal</u> Home Loan Banks

Dear Mr. Pollard:

We are submitting this comment to express our concerns about the Federal Housing Finance Agency's ("FHFA") notice of proposed rulemaking and request for comments on "Members of the Federal Home Loan Banks" published on September 12, 2014. For the reasons described below, we respectfully request the withdrawal of this proposal.

Commerce State Bank is a \$345 million, community bank headquartered in West Bend, WI. with a branch office in Cedarburg, WI. We have been a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago since our bank opened in 2005. We are a vital source of lending for the communities we serve, providing loan products for residential real estate, commercial real estate, small business agricultural real estate and agricultural operating loans. As permitted under the FHLB Act, we use these loans as collateral to support our activities with the FHLB of Chicago.

As a shateholder and customer, we greatly value our membership in the FHLB of Chicago and view it as a key partner to help us better serve our customers and our community. For a smaller bank such as ours, access to FHLB of Chicago advances is critically important because the liquidity allows us to offer an array of loan products to our customers that we might not otherwise be able to offer. The FHLB's products such as advances, letters of credit and the Mortgage Partnership Finance[®] Program are tremendous resources that enable us to effectively competitive with much larger financial institutions, resulting in more choices and better service for our home buying, small business and agricultural customers. Currently, we have originated and service daily over \$100 million of MPF loans since the bank joined the program in 2012.

The proposed rule concerns us because it would impose, for the first time ever, on-going requirements for our bank to meet as a condition of remaining a member of the FHLB of Chicago. For community financial institutions ("CFIs"), such as our bank, the proposal would require us to

hold between 1 % percent to 5 percent of our total assets in long-term home mortgage loans. Failure to maintain this level would result eventually in the termination of our membership in the FHLB of Chicago.

While this requirement may not appear to the FHFA to be onerous, the practical consequences would be very severe and disruptive. To begin with, our ability to rely on the liquidity provided by the FHLB of Chicago, particularly in times of economic distress, would be seriously undermined if the FHFA is allowed to establish requirements we must meet simply to remain an FHLB of Chicago member. This has never been the case in the 82-year history of the FHLBs. Membership in the FHLBs has been steadily expanded by Congress over the years, never contracted. With the imposition of such a requirement, we could never be assured that when the next financial crisis occurs we will have continued access to FHLB of Chicago liquidity.

Even if we meet the proposed threshold today, we would need to manage our balance sheet with the proposed requirements in mind going forward. Future decisions regarding our asset allocation would need to bear them in mind. Our asset allocation potentially would become over-invested in housing related assets at the expense of small business lending and other commercial loans, consumer loans or other asset classes. In effect, a portion of our balance sheet would be dictated by the FHFA. This result would contradict the intent of Congress, which specifically allowed CFIs to pledge small business, agricultural and agri-business loans as collateral for FHLB advances in the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 ("Act"). By making clear that CFIs may use FHLB funding for purposes other than residential housing finance, the Act expanded the mission of the FHLBs and encouraged lending by smaller depository institutions to these asset categories. The proposed rule contradicts this Congressional intent by mandating CFIs hold some amount of our assets in long-term home mortgage loans. It does not appear to recognize the legitimate uses of FHLB funding beyond housing finance activities.

This proposal also could inhibit our ability to grow, or threaten our access to the FHLB of Chicago if we do. For example, if our total assets grow above the current CFI threshold of \$1.108 billion, either organically or through acquisition, our bank would then become subject to the additional proposed on-going test that would require us to maintain 10% of our assets in residential mortgages loans. This could have the unintended consequence of forcing us to forego expansion or merger plans for the sole purpose of maintaining our FHLB membership. As a result of trying to avoid crossing the arbitrary CFI limit, we might need to reduce our usage of FHLB products and services, which in turn could reduce the products we are able to offer our customers and serve our community. As a regulator, the FHFA undoubtedly understands the importance and necessity of asset growth for a bank. Prudently growing assets generally are a sign of a healthy institution and can contribute to a sounder overall financial system. The FHFA should support the reasonable growth of FHLB members and avoid penalizing them or threatening our access to FHLB liquidity as a result of it.

We also are very concerned this proposal could lead to the politicization of FHLB membership. If the FHFA can require ongoing eligibility requirements for members, nothing would prevent it from increasing those thresholds, or imposing entirely new requirements, in the future. This proposal might simply be the first of many such eligibility requirements imposed upon FHLB members, purportedly in an effort to ensure that a sufficient housing finance nexus is maintained at all times by members. The FHFA director is a political position, appointed by the President and confirmed by the U.S. Senate. What would prevent a future FHFA director from requiring FHLB members to hold yet more housing loans or other types of assets on their balance sheets in order to achieve a certain political agenda? Such fears are not unfounded. Past Administrations from both political parties customers and shareholders. Community banks across the country are struggling under the weight of an extensive regulatory regime imposed upon us in recent years, despite the fact that we were not the cause of the financial crisis. Recent legislative and regulatory requirements include the Patriot Act, the Bank Secrecy Act, anti-money laundering rules, the Dodd-Frank Act and accompanying Qualified Mortgage and Qualified Residential Mortgage rules, and new Basel III-like capital and liquidity requirements. This proposal only adds to this burden and will likely cause us to rethink the practicality of remaining a FHLB member.

In conclusion, we view the FHLB of Chicago as a critical partner for our bank. Its reliability as a liquidity source must be preserved. Threatening access to the FHLB of Chicago threatens our bank, our customers and the communities of West Bend and Cedarburg, WI. This proposal would undermine the reliability of the FHLB of Chicago, discourage membership, inhibit our growth, politicize FHLB membership, limit access to the secondary market and shrink the FHLB of Chicago's affordable housing and community development activities. It will do nothing to help the effort of other banking regulators to strengthen the overall financial system or repair the struggling housing markets. Despite these real and damaging effects, there appear to be no specific benefits that would be achieved by this proposal. The costs clearly outweigh the benefits. For these reasons, we strongly urge the immediate withdrawal of this proposal.

We appreciate the consideration of our views.

Best Regards, Commerce State Bank

Dave Borchardt CFO/COO and Co-Founder

cc: FDIC Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions American Bankers Association Community Bankers of Wisconsin Wisconsin Bankers Association