To whom it may concern,

As a real estate finance professional that has the pleasure of working with many different borrowers, I am writing to urge you <u>not to</u> amend the Uniform Residential Loan Application (URLA) to include a question on the language preferences of potential borrowers.

The mortgage industry strives to provide homeownership opportunities for all creditworthy borrowers. We understand our obligation to be a resource to our communities and know the value and importance of homeownership as a fundamental piece of the American Dream. This opportunity should be reasonably available to all that want to participate, and we work hard to market our services to the communities where we live and work.

It is this close connection with consumers and my practical understanding of how these transactions are conducted that makes me believe that FHFA should not put a question on the URLA inquiring about a borrower's language preference. Such a question could be confusing to the borrower or may create an expectation of service in a language that we may not be able to reasonably fulfill. In the worst case scenario, such a question may lead a borrower to erroneously believe that they are possibly being discriminated against or that providing this information may be harmful to their loan application. Neither outcome is consistent with the type of customer service I try to provide.

The phrasing of the question in the RFI suggests that data collection is the primary goal of this change to the URLA. However, I also know that there are existing data sources available to study and improve availability of resources without injecting confusion and uncertainty into my relationship with my customers. The American Survey of Mortgage Borrowers, U.S. Census, and other government resources track demographic information and are updated with some frequency. These are far more appropriate venues for data collection and tracking than the URLA.

In sum, I believe it is unwise to include an inquiry into a borrower's language preference on the URLA. For the reasons cited above, doing so will simply result in more confusion on the part of consumers and damage my relationships with customers. I urge FHFA not to make this change.

Additionally, it is my opinion that, in a matter the size and importance of obtaining a Home Loan/Mortgage, any borrower with concerns should take it upon themselves to secure and utilize an interpreter throughout the entire loan process.

Respectfully submitted.

**Bob Morris** 

Branch Manager / Senior Loan Officer

NMLS #214953