









# National Survey of Mortgage Originations **Technical Documentation**



## **Table of Contents**

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Development of the Survey	3
3.	Detailed Survey Sample Frame	5
4.	Survey Timeline	6
5.	Survey Samples and Returns	9
6.	Usable Survey Responses	. 12
7.	Cleaning, Editing, and Imputing Responses	. 16
8.	Sample Non-Response Weights	. 19
9.	Sampling Error	. 21
10.	Security and Privacy Measures	. 22
11.	Public Use File	. 23
Appen	dix A. NSMO Letters and Questionnaire, Wave 34	A-1
Appen	dix B. Retired NSMO Questions	B-1
Appen	dix C. NSMO Public Use File Codebook and Tabulations	C-1
Appen	dix D. Select Weighted Tabulations, 2013 – 2021	D-1

#### 1. Introduction<sup>1</sup>

The National Mortgage Database (NMDB®) program is jointly funded and managed by the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB). The program is designed to provide a rich source of information about the U.S. mortgage market based on a five percent sample of residential mortgages. It has three primary components:

- (1) the National Mortgage Database (NMDB);
- (2) the National Survey of Mortgage Originations (NSMO); and
- (3) the American Survey of Mortgage Borrowers (ASMB).

The NMDB program enables FHFA to meet the statutory requirements of section 1324(c) of the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992, as amended by the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA).<sup>2</sup> Specifically, FHFA must, through a monthly survey of the mortgage market, collect data on the characteristics of individual mortgages including both those eligible and not eligible for purchase by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and including subprime and nontraditional mortgages. In addition, FHFA must collect information on the creditworthiness of borrowers, including a determination of whether subprime and nontraditional borrowers would have qualified for prime lending.<sup>3</sup>

For CFPB, the NMDB program supports policymaking and research efforts, and helps identify and understand emerging mortgage and housing market trends. CFPB uses NMDB, among other purposes, in support of the market monitoring called for by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act), including understanding how mortgage debt affects consumers and for retrospective rule review required by this statute.<sup>4</sup>

In seeking to meet these objectives, FHFA and CFPB decided to create NMDB after determining that no existing database was sufficient.<sup>5</sup> NMDB is a de-identified loan-level database of closedend first-lien residential mortgages. It has the following features:

- (1) Represents the market as a whole;
- (2) Contains detailed, loan-level information on the terms and performance of mortgages, as well as characteristics of the associated borrowers and properties;
- (3) Continually updates;
- (4) Has a historical component dating back before the financial crisis of 2008; and
- (5) Provides a sampling frame for NSMO and ASMB.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The authors would like to thank several groups for their contributions to this report: our former NMDB team members at FHFA and CFPB; our current and former colleagues at both agencies; and everyone who has worked on the NSMO project at Experian, Westat, and Freddie Mac.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, Pub. L. 110–289, 122 Stat. 2654 (2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> FHFA interprets the NMDB program, including NSMO, as the "survey" required by the Safety and Soundness Act. The statutory requirement is for a monthly survey. Core inputs to NMDB, such as a regular refresh of credit-repository data, occur monthly, though NSMO is conducted quarterly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, Pub. L. 111-203, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For a fuller description of NMDB, including a discussion of existing sources and their limitations, see NMDB Technical Report 1 at <a href="https://www.fhfa.gov/nmdb">www.fhfa.gov/nmdb</a>.

The core data in NMDB represent a statistically valid 1-in-20 random sample of all closed-end first-lien mortgages in the files of Experian, one of the three national credit bureaus. When the NMDB program began, an initial sample was drawn from all mortgage files outstanding at any point from January 1998 through June 2012. Since then, the sample has been updated quarterly with mortgages newly reported to Experian. Borrowers are tracked in NMDB from at least one year prior to the origination of the sampled mortgage to one year after termination of the mortgage, whether that termination is through prepayment, adverse termination, or maturity.

NSMO is designed to complement the core NMDB by providing supplementary information related to borrower opinions, expectations, and experiences. This quarterly survey is voluntary, and its target universe is all newly originated closed-end first-lien residential mortgages and their associated borrowers. To achieve this objective, NSMO draws its sample from mortgages that are part of NMDB, whose sample is drawn from the same target universe of new loans.

Beginning with loans originated in 2013, a simple random sample of about 6,000 loans per quarter is drawn from those newly added to NMDB for NSMO. Because the volume of originations varies across time, the sampling rate for the 6,000 sampled loans varies from one quarter to the next.<sup>7</sup>

The remainder of this technical report is organized as follows.

The second through fourth sections of this technical report provides background details on the development of NSMO. The second section discusses the development of the survey questionnaire, including the approval granted by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The third section discusses the survey sampling frame and timeline, and the fourth section discusses the logistics of conducting the survey.

The fifth through ninth sections provide analysis and a discussion about the editing process of the survey data. The fifth section presents an analysis of survey responses for the first 34 waves. The sixth section explains how the usable population for analysis is derived, also for the first 34 waves. The seventh section describes the data cleaning, editing, and imputation processes used to refine the usable survey dataset. The eighth section discusses the construction of nonresponse-adjusted sample weights. The ninth section discusses the sampling error of the survey.

The tenth section provides an overview of techniques used to preserve respondent anonymity and data security, and the eleventh section describes a data file newly available to the public for analysis.<sup>8</sup>

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Experian was chosen through a competitive procurement process to assist in creating NMDB.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> As of this writing, NSMO is currently in the field with Wave 42. Data in this document represent Waves 1 through 34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This document has four appendices. Appendix A presents the survey cover letters and the NSMO questionnaire used for Wave 34. Appendix B lists the retired survey questions. Appendix C cites the codebook that shows the changes in questions over the waves and tabulations with un-weighted frequency responses for all questions for the first 34 waves of the survey associated with mortgages originated from 2013 to 2021. Appendix D contains weighted tabulations broken down by origination year for the same period. These observations represent those included in the public use data file.

#### 2. Development of the Survey

Freddie Mac developed several pilot versions of what has now become NSMO in reaction to the financial crisis of 2008. The initial pilot was administered as a mail survey to about 1,500 borrowers drawn from data maintained by Experian, one of the three national credit bureaus. The pilot used a sample frame like that currently used by NSMO. The survey response rate was much lower than was hoped, at only 12 percent.

To improve the response rate, Freddie Mac retained the services of Don A. Dillman, of Washington State University, a leading expert in mail survey methods. Dr. Dillman focused on improving the survey response rate by changing the contacting strategy, participation incentives, communication strategy, and questionnaire format. His changes were incorporated into a second pilot survey in February 2011 that sampled new Freddie Mac purchase and refinance loans, and this second pilot survey had a vastly improved response rate of 60 percent.

In the fall of 2012, Freddie Mac conducted a third pilot survey targeting a representative national sample of new 2011 mortgage borrowers drawn from Experian files. The response rate for this survey was about 45 percent.

The improvements instituted in the later pilot surveys confirmed the effectiveness of using credit reporting bureau records as the survey sampling frame as well as the effectiveness of the questionnaire and methodology.

The NSMO questionnaire draws heavily on these pilots and leverages the input of an advisory group of experts from government, non-profit organizations, advocacy groups, trade groups, and academia convened by Freddie Mac when creating its questionnaires. This group played a significant role in ensuring that NSMO provided information of interest to policymakers, researchers, and data analysts.

NSMO collects unique information that cannot be obtained from other sources, such as mortgage shopping behavior, mortgage closing experiences, borrowers' expectations regarding house price appreciation and experiences of critical household financial events (for example, a period of unemployment, large medical expenses, or divorce). Borrowers are only asked a handful of questions about their mortgage terms, just enough to verify that the survey reached the correct person and that the respondent discussed the correct loan.

By interagency agreement between FHFA and CFPB, FHFA led the production of NSMO.<sup>9</sup> This work included seeking public comments concerning information collection as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. On April 25, 2013, FHFA published a 60-Day Notice of Submission of Information Collection for Approval from the OMB in the *Federal Register*. Subsequently, on July 1, 2013, FHFA published a 30-Day Notice of Submission of Information Collection for Approval from OMB.

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> An interagency agreement between FHFA and CFPB was signed on September 12, 2012, in which they agreed to share the costs of the survey and the development of NMDB equally.

Following these *Federal Register* notices, OMB reviewed the FHFA application and approved the request in December 2013, assigning NSMO a control number of 2590-0012 with an expiration date of December 31, 2016. In April 2014, FHFA published a revised System of Records Notice (SORN) in the *Federal Register* extending the system of records entitled "National Mortgage Database Project" to cover NSMO. The second and third revisions of the SORN were published in the *Federal Register* in August 2015 and December 2016, respectively.

After obtaining OMB approval, FHFA modified an existing contract with Experian, which subcontracted the survey administration through a competitive process to Westat, a nationally recognized survey vendor. Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) rules dictate that the survey process must be administered through Experian to maintain consumer privacy because it utilizes borrower names and addresses drawn from credit reporting bureau records. <sup>10</sup>

The NMDB team consulted with Experian, Westat, and the Freddie Mac advisory group between December 2013 and February 2014 to finalize the survey questionnaire and supporting materials. The initial survey wave was mailed out in April 2014, and a new wave of the survey has been conducted each quarter since. (See Section 3 for more information about the timeframe for each survey wave.)

In the process of applying for a three-year extension of the OMB control number, FHFA published a 60-Day Notice of Submission of Information Collection for Approval from the OMB in the *Federal Register* on September 13, 2016. Subsequently, on December 28, 2016, FHFA published a 30-Day Notice of Submission of Information Collection for Approval from OMB. OMB reviewed the FHFA application and approved the request on April 20, 2017 and extended the collection authority for NSMO through April 30, 2020. On December 10, 2019, FHFA published another 60-day notice, followed by an additional 30-day notice on April 3, 2020. OMB approved another request for extension on June 17, 2020, which extended the collection authority for NSMO through June 30, 2023. On December 6, 2022, FHFA published another 60-day notice. Following the 60-day notice, a 30-day notice was published on April 14, 2023. OMB approved this request for extension on June 15, 2023, which extended the collection authority for NSMO through June 30, 2026.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), Public Law No. 91-508, was enacted in 1970 and substantially amended since, to promote accuracy, fairness, and the privacy of personal information assembled by credit reporting agencies (CRAs). The Act's primary protection requires that CRAs follow "reasonable procedures" to protect the confidentiality, accuracy, and relevance of credit information. To do so, the FCRA establishes a framework of requirements for credit report information that include rights of data quality (right to access and correct), data security, use limitations, requirements for data destruction, notice, user participation (consent), and accountability.

#### 3. Detailed Survey Sample Frame

Approximately 6,000 of the closed-end first-lien mortgage loans newly added to NMDB are selected for NSMO following the update of NMDB at the end of each quarter. Because the volume of originations varies across time, the sampling rate for the 6,000 sampled loans varies from one quarter to the next. Loans are selected at random from mortgages that were newly reported to Experian within a year of origination. Once selected to participate in NSMO, a borrower is ineligible to be selected again.

After the sample is selected, Experian eliminates any potential respondents who: (1) have opted out of previous surveys, (2) are deemed not to have usable addresses or names, or (3) are on a do-not-contact list (a small number). Industry guidance (Metro 2 Industry Standards for Credit Reporting) requires that servicers must supply a billing address for each borrower on a trade line (including mortgages). Experian generally uses these borrower billing addresses as the survey mailing addresses.

Table 1 shows the rules for selecting the borrower(s) and address to which the survey is mailed when there are multiple addresses or borrowers associated with a sample loan. The survey is sent to no more than two borrowers who share a common address.

	Table 1. Rule for Best Address									
Number of Borrowers	Same or Different Address	Resulting Survey Recipient								
1	Not Applicable	One borrower with Experian's associated best address								
2	Same	Two borrower names with one common best address								
2	Different	The one borrower and associated best address with the lowest number of open mortgages								
>2	Same	Two borrowers with one common best address that has the highest number of trade lines reported								
>2	Different	The one borrower and associated best address with the lowest number of open mortgages								

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> For a fuller description of how loans are selected for NMDB, see NMDB Technical Report 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The variation is accounted for in the sampling weights for each observation.

#### 4. Survey Timeline

At the beginning of each quarter, a 1-in-20 sample of mortgage loans newly reported to Experian over the previous quarter is delivered to the NMDB team from Experian. It takes approximately five weeks to identify and combine duplicative records, draw the NSMO sample, process it at Experian, and print the survey materials. At this point, the survey enters the field.

For the first 21 waves, the survey process was as follows:

On the first day NSMO was in the field, borrowers of sampled mortgages were sent a cover letter, printed questionnaire, and \$5 cash incentive. The mailing and printed questionnaire detailed how borrowers could mail back the completed survey or complete the survey online in either English or Spanish using instructions and a unique PIN provided in the questionnaire packet. At first, approximately one third of the overall survey responses were completed online, and this share has increased over time to about 60 percent in recent waves.

At the start of NSMO's second week in the field, borrowers were sent their first reminder letter. If a borrower had not submitted their questionnaire by the middle of NSMO's fifth week in the field, they were sent a second reminder letter, another copy of the printed questionnaire, and an additional \$5 cash incentive. If borrowers still had not replied by the end of NSMO's sixth week in the field, they were sent a third and final reminder letter at the start of NSMO's seventh week in the field. This last letter included the due date for returning the questionnaire to close the communication loop.

This sequence of survey mailings continued for waves 21 to present, with the initial survey mailing in week one and reminder mailings in week two, week five, and week seven. Beginning in wave 22, the NMDB team conducted a series of experiments that tested alternative strategies for increasing the survey's response rate. The results of these experiments led to several changes to the materials and incentives included in the survey mailings.

The first set of experiments were conducted during waves 22 through 26. In wave 22, one half of the 6,000 sampled borrowers were randomly selected to receive a \$10 cash incentive with the first mailing, and the other half received the \$5 incentive similar to prior waves. In waves 23 and 24, one half of the borrowers randomly received revised cover and reminder letters, and the other half received the unrevised letters used in prior waves. Additional edits were made to the revised letters between waves 23 and 24. In wave 25, all borrowers were sent an initial incentive of \$10. For the second incentive, one half of the non-respondents were randomly sent the normal \$5 cash incentive similar to previous waves, and the other half were randomly sent a letter informing them that they would be sent a \$20 incentive upon completion of the survey. In wave 26, all borrowers who had not returned a survey by week five were told they would be sent the \$20 incentive upon completion of the survey; half were given a \$5 incentive in week one and the other half were given \$10.

The results of these experiments allowed the NMDB team to create a new incentive structure for waves 27 to 36. All borrowers were sent a \$10 incentive in week one. In week five, if they had not yet responded, the week five reminder letter told them they would receive \$20 upon receipt

of a completed survey. This change necessitated sending a thank-you letter sent only to those who received a week five reminder letter and subsequently returned the survey, to which the \$20 is attached.

A second round of experiments were conducted in waves 37 through 40. In wave 37, one half of borrowers received letters that used a revised format, and the other half received the traditional letters. The borrowers receiving the revised letters showed modestly higher response rates, and the revised format was adopted for waves 38 to the present. In wave 38, one half of borrowers were mailed a postcard prior to the initial mailing that instructed them to keep an eye out for the initial mailing that would be delivered the following week. This group showed modestly higher response rates; however, the impact was not large enough to offset the cost of the mailed postcards so the pre-postcards were not adopted in subsequent waves. In waves 39 and 40, one half of the sampled borrowers were offered the \$20 incentive for a completed survey in the initial mailing rather than the week five letter. In both quarters, this change in the timing of the \$20 incentive increased response rates. In waves 41 to the present, all borrowers were offered the \$20 incentive for a completed survey in the initial mailing rather waiting until the week five letter.

During the first eight weeks of each cycle, Experian maintains a NSMO call center to address any questions by borrowers. This call center also allows borrowers to "opt out" of the survey and future surveys. Both FHFA and CFPB describe the survey on their websites so that borrowers can independently verify its legitimacy. The cover letter also includes the names of agency officials—the FHFA Deputy Director of the Division of Research and Statistics and the CFPB Deputy Associate Director for Research, Monitoring, and Regulations—who are identified on their respective agencies' websites as senior employees.

Mail surveys and online responses are accepted and processed until 12 weeks after the mail date, which means that the survey cycle extends about five weeks into the next quarter. After the deadline, responses undergo initial processing by Westat to strip the data of any personally identifiable information such as the names of borrowers or institutions. This takes roughly 25 days, after which the data are delivered to FHFA by Experian.

The timeline described above applies to each quarterly data collection and production. Because some loans take longer than six months to be reported to the credit bureaus, a data file fully representative of a calendar year will generally not be available until December of the following year. For example, data on 2019 mortgage originations only became available towards the end of 2020. As of this writing, the NSMO survey is currently on its 42nd wave. Table 2 shows the survey field periods and sample size for each quarter to date. FHFA has received data from Experian up to the 39th wave as shown in Table 3 in Section 5. The NMDB team has processed, cleaned, and imputed data up to the 34th wave as shown in Tables 4 and 5 in Section 6.

Table 2. Survey Field Periods										
Wave	Survey Field Dates	Calendar Quarter	Surveys Mailed							
1	April to June 2014	2014 Quarter 1	15,000							
2	June to August 2014	2014 Quarter 2	3,000							
3	August to November 2014	2014 Quarter 3	5,992							
4	November 2014 to February 2015	2014 Quarter 4	5,795							
5	February to May 2015	2015 Quarter 1	5,925							
6	May to August 2015	2015 Quarter 2	4,428							
7	August to November 2015	2015 Quarter 3	7,352							
8	November 2015 to February 2016	2015 Quarter 4	5,913							
9	February to May 2016	2016 Quarter 1	5,907							
10	May to August 2016	2016 Quarter 2	5,885							
11	August to November 2016	2016 Quarter 3	5,904							
12	November 2016 to February 2017	2016 Quarter 4	5,919							
13	February to May 2017	2017 Quarter 1	5,910							
14	May to August 2017	2017 Quarter 2	5,804							
15	August to November 2017	2017 Quarter 3	5,809							
16	November 2017 to February 2018	2017 Quarter 4	5,707							
17	February to May 2018	2018 Quarter 1	5,755							
18	April to July 2018	2018 Quarter 2	5,773							
19	August to November 2018	2018 Quarter 3	5,759							
20	November 2018 to February 2019	2018 Quarter 4	5,770							
21	February to May 2019	2019 Quarter 1	5,746							
22	May to August 2019	2019 Quarter 2	5,720							
23	August to November 2019	2019 Quarter 3	5,737							
24	November 2019 to February 2020	2019 Quarter 4	5,676							
25	February to May 2020	2020 Quarter 1	5,698							
26	May to August 2020	2020 Quarter 2	5,676							
27	August to November 2020	2020 Quarter 3	5,694							
28	November 2020 to February 2021	2020 Quarter 4	5,658							
29	February to May 2021	2021 Quarter 1	5,646							
30	May to August 2021	2021 Quarter 2	5,650							
31	August to November 2021	2021 Quarter 3	5,675							
32	November 2021 to February 2022	2021 Quarter 4	5,650							
33	February to May 2022	2022 Quarter 1	5,676							
34	May to August 2022	2022 Quarter 2	5,681							
35	August to November 2022	2022 Quarter 3	5,667							
36	November 2022 to February 2023	2022 Quarter 4	5,681							
37	February to May 2023	2023 Quarter 1	5,669							
38	May to August 2023	2023 Quarter 2	5,699							
39	August to November 2023	2023 Quarter 3	5,712							
Total			231,318							

# 5. Survey Samples and Returns

As shown in Table 3, NSMO typically samples about 6,000 new mortgage originations each quarter. Over the 39 waves for which FHFA has received data from Experian, just under 30 percent of the surveys mailed to borrowers of sampled mortgages were completed. The variation in quarterly sampling methodology and questionnaires are highlighted in this section. Additional details on questionnaire changes are in Appendices B and C.

			Table 3. S	Survey San	nples and	l Returns			
	Average	Surveys	Postal	Surveys		Surveys C	Completed		
Wave	Sampling Weight	Mailed	Non- Delivery	Delivered	Total	By Mail	Online English	Online Spanish	Opt-Out
1	464.21	15,000	218	14,782	5,793	4,410	1,360	23	169
2	296.14	3,000	37	2,963	1,076	858	214	4	31
3	280.96	5,992	110	5,882	2,073	1,534	524	15	40
4	263.63	5,795	86	5,709	2,020	1,496	514	10	53
5	247.32	5,925	126	5,799	2,089	1,567	520	2	39
6	238.92	4,428	38	4,390	1,489	1,133	353	3	31
7	297.14	7,352	147	7,205	2,494	1,744	744	6	39
8	326.97	5,913	99	5,814	1,899	1,305	587	7	24
9	292.31	5,907	155	5,752	1,824	1,230	584	10	42
10	253.27	5,885	98	5,787	1,765	1,148	607	10	36
11	278.27	5,904	172	5,732	1,733	1,097	627	9	21
12	343.76	5,919	167	5,752	1,778	1,078	687	13	18
13	363.21	5,910	127	5,783	1,885	1,197	675	13	32
14	318.55	5,804	107	5,697	1,681	1,085	588	8	21
15	270.61	5,809	136	5,673	1,537 76	765	760 1	12	24
16	305.24	5,707	164	5,543	1,507	757	738	12	26
17	304.31	5,755	112	5,643	1,647	879	762	6	45
18	262.93	5,773	163	5,610	1,536	812	711	13	32
19	266.84	5,759	242	5,517	1,464	760	695	9	29
20	284.5	5,770	206	5,564	1,396	762	627	7	11
21	266.12	5,746	251	5,495	1,511	777	719	15	17
22	213.35	5,720	219	5,501	1,405	757	630	18	29
23	262.92	5,737	235	5,502	1,241	652	579	10	29
24	346.01	5,676	192	5,484	1,268	615	648	5	21
25	398.6	5,698	139	5,559	1,780	771	998	11	27
26	364.62	5,676	117	5,559	1,743	666	1,068	9	23

		Table	3. Survey	Samples	and Retui	rns (conti	nued)		
	Average	Cumrava	Postal	Cumrava		Surveys C	Completed		
Wave	Sampling Weight	Surveys Mailed	Non- Delivery	Surveys Delivered	Total	By Mail	Online English	Online Spanish	Opt-Out
27	606.49 <sup>13</sup>	5,694	91	5,603	1,821	717	1,092	12	19
28	586.42	5,658	117	5,541	1,752	562	1,178	12	9
29	626.20	5,646	124	5,522	1,761	677	1,077	7	26
30	629.72	5,650	146	5,504	1,576	646	916	14	22
31	621.31	5,675	169	5,506	1,458	567	877	14	19
32	509.98	5,650	182	5,468	1,415	552	852	11	22
33	463.01	5,676	198	5,478	1,482	720	748	14	26
34	468.72	5,681	161	5,520	1,253	596	643	14	28
35	352.82	5,667	208	5,459	1,270	540	711	19	23
36	258.50	5,681	265	5,416	1,181	415	755	11	19
37	205.64	5,669	264	5,405	1,088	440	637	11	20
38	165.57	5,699	377	5,322	1,107	492	602	14	11
39	178.94	5,712	357	5,355	1,099	486	592	21	13
Total	-	231,318	6,522	224,796	65,897	37,265	28,199	434	1,166
Percent of Surveys	f Mailed	100.00%	2.82%	97.18%	28.49%	16.11%	12.19%	0.19%	0.50%
Percent o	f Completed	Surveys			100.00%	56.55%	42.79%	0.66%	NA

In 2014, the first year of the survey, a modified version was conducted for the first three waves in April, June, and September. Wave 1 (April) included a sample of 15,000 mortgages. This was a catch-up period to cover cases originated in 2013 and newly reported to Experian in the archives for June, September and December 2013.

Wave 2 (June) included 3,000 surveys and was for mortgages that were originated in 2013 and newly reported to Experian between January and March 2014. For Wave 3 (August), Westat mailed out 6,000 surveys representing mortgages that were originated in 2013 and reported to Experian between March and June 2014 within a year of origination as well as any mortgages originated in 2014 and reported to Experian between January and June 2014.

The fourth wave, mailed in November 2014, was the first sample that is comparable to subsequent surveys. It was comprised of any mortgage newly reported to Experian in the most recent quarter (July to September 2014) that was reported within a year of origination. It is also the first wave where Experian eliminated potential sample cases deemed to not have legitimate addresses or names prior to mailing. Other than slight changes to two questions, the questionnaire was unchanged from prior waves. This same questionnaire was used for Wave 5.

Initial analysis of data from the first four waves of the survey prompted major revisions to the questionnaire around this time. Examination of responses suggested that respondents may have

\_

<sup>13</sup> The average sampling weight increased in 2020 due to the unusually large volume of originations in that year.

frequently misunderstood or misinterpreted some of the questions.<sup>14</sup> This issue was addressed in changes made to the questionnaire for parts of Wave 6 (details below) and all of Wave 7. Users should be aware of these interpretation inconsistencies when using data from the earlier waves.

These revisions were anticipated, so Wave 6 was broken into two parts. Mortgages that were originated in 2014 were mailed on time, following the established schedule and using the original questionnaire; mortgages originated in 2015 were held back to be mailed with the new questionnaire alongside the usual Wave 7 sample.

Wave 7 consisted of three samples drawn independently. The first were respondents selected for Wave 6 with loans originated in 2015. The second were respondents with mortgages newly reported to Experian between April and June 2015 (the normal quarterly sample frame). Finally, a special sample of borrowers residing in "remote rural" counties as defined using a U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) criterion with 2014 loan originations reporting to Experian within a year of origination was selected.<sup>15</sup> Each subsample was assigned a different sample weight.

All subsequent waves of the survey sent out only the regular sample mailed on-schedule. Minor revisions occurred roughly twice a year and can be tracked in the codebook included in Appendix C. One prevailing trend during these waves was a slow but steady overall decline in the response rate, a problem facing many other surveys like NSMO during this period. Beginning in wave 22, the NMDB team conducted a series of experiments that tested alternative strategies for increasing the survey's response rates. These experiments and the resulting changes to the survey materials and incentives are described in Section 4.

 $^{14}$  A list of retired survey questions is provided in Appendix B. Changes to the language of the questions across waves are provided in the codebook, included in Appendix C.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See <a href="http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-continuum-codes/documentation.aspx">http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-continuum-codes/documentation.aspx</a> for the USDA definition of "rural" used for this sample.

# 6. Usable Survey Responses

Returned questionnaires and online responses were evaluated to determine the set of usable responses. Table 4 summarizes the results of this analysis through the 34 waves which have been completely processed and illustrates the four criteria for rejecting a completed questionnaire.

	Та	ble 4. Usa	ble Survey	/ Respon	ses	
			Not Us	able		
Survey Wave	Returned	Duplicate or Ineligible	Answered "No" to Q1	Did Not Finish Survey	Wrong Loan	Usable
1	5,792	91 737 127			216	4,621
2	1,076	15	85	16	38	922
3	2,073	41	108	36	58	1,830
4	2,020	123	131	46	63	1,657
5	2,089	41	81	46	62	1,859
6	1,489	117	70	29	50	1,223
7	2,494	73	142	78	96	2,105
8	1,899	46	72	28	59	1,694
9	1,824	42	68	27	37	1,650
10	1,765	63	84	40	58	1,520
11	1,733	43	92	38	37	1,523
12	1,778	62	101	49	50	1,516
13	1,885	50	102	52	54	1,627
14	1,681	55	66	52	44	1,464
15	1,537	35	136	78	33	1,255
16	1,507	33	115	70	26	1,263
17	1,647	31	126	62	34	1,394
18	1,536	29	113	60	34	1,300
19	1,464	41	101	59	31	1,232
20	1,396	40	114	66	24	1,152

	Table	4. Usable	Survey Re	esponses	(cont.)	
			Not Us	able		
Survey Wave	Returned	Duplicate or Ineligible	Answered "No" to Q1	Did Not Finish Survey	Wrong Loan	Usable
21	1,511	67	125	82	23	1,214
22	1,405	39	126	56	23	1,161
23	1,241	33	86	49 78	32	1,041
24	1,268	57	60		37	1,036
25	1,780	30	55	73	63	1,559
26	1,743	27	39	66	81	1,530
27	1,821	15	54	70	96	1,586
28	1,752 22		52	79	76	1,523
29	1,761	17	39	80	67	1,558
30	1,576	13	41	52	95	1,375
31	1,458	23	47	49	71	1,268
32	1,415	16	40	58	73	1,228
33	1,482	16	58	39	61	1,308
34	1,253	28	38	23	68	1,096
Total	60,151	1,474	3,504	1,913	1,970	51,290
Percent of Mailed Surveys	29.65%	0.73%	1.73%	0.94%	0.97%	25.28%

The first category of unusable surveys comes from respondents whose sample loans were ultimately removed from NMDB after the survey had been executed either because they were deemed to have duplicate trade lines and do not meet the criteria for remaining in NMDB or where the sample loan was determined to be a second and not a first mortgage lien. This was a particular problem in Wave 4 where a significant number of respondents were removed from NMDB for reasons unrelated to the survey. In some instances, the survey response itself led to the removal, as margin notes or comments indicated that the loan was a second lien.

The second criterion is a "no" response to the first question (Q1). Q1 is used as a screener question to confirm that the survey respondent took out a mortgage during the reporting period (which Experian records suggest that they did). In the first wave, a surprisingly high number of respondents (737) said that they had not taken out a mortgage. An analysis of these responses suggests that many people did not consider a refinance a "new" mortgage. Consequently, in Wave 2, the wording of Q1 was changed to add the phrase "including any mortgage refinances." With this change, the share of "no" responses to Q1 decreased from 13 percent to 8 percent.

The next exclusion criterion eliminates breakoffs, defined as those for which the respondent stopped answering questions halfway through or answered less than 50 percent of the questions overall.

The last criterion for exclusion was for respondents who provided information on the wrong loan. The sampling frame was tied to a particular loan associated with the borrower. However, the questionnaire did not refer explicitly to that loan. Instead, respondents who had taken out multiple loans during the reference period were asked to report on the "most recent." In some instances, this was not the sample loan. This was a particular problem in Wave 1 which, as a "catch up" survey, had a relatively long reference period. Also, some respondents who have refinanced their mortgage report on the original home purchase mortgage rather than the refinance. Finally, in a few instances it appears that the survey went to the wrong person, with answers bearing no resemblance to the sample loan features as characterized by Experian records. In each of these circumstances the survey response was removed from the data set used for analysis.

Given this, the rate of usable responses in each wave is lower than the survey response rates reported earlier. Overall, for the first 34 waves, 51,290 usable responses were obtained from 60,151 returned surveys. This resulted in a usable response rate of 25.28% out of the mailed-out questionnaires. The distribution of the usable surveys by mortgage origination year is shown in Table 5.

	Table 5. Usable Survey Responses by Origination Year													
Survey Wave	Total Usable	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021				
1	4,621	4,621	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
2	922	922	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
3	1,830	507	1,323	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
4	1,657	11	1,646	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
5	1,859	5	1,854	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
6	1,223	0	1,223	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
7	1,810 <sup>16</sup>	0	0 192 1		0	0	0 0	0	0					
8	1,694	0	12	1,682	0	0	0 0	0	0					
9	1,650	0	1	1,649	0	0	0	0 0						
10	1,520	0	0	1,052	468	0	0	0	0	0				
11	1,523	0	0	155	1,368	0	0	0	0	0				
12	1,516	0	0	12	1,504	0	0	0	0	0				
13	1,627	0	0	2	1,625	0	0	0	0	0				
14	1,464	0	0	0	1,116	348	0	0	0	0				
15	1,255	0	0	0	179	1,076	0	0	0	0				

14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Excludes the remote rural special sample drawn in wave 7. This count is therefore lower than the count of usable responses in Table 4, which includes the remote rural sample.

1	Table 5. U	sable S	Survey l	Respon	ses by	Origina	ation Y	ear (cor	nt.)	
Survey Wave	Total Usable	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
16	1,263	0	0	0	6	1,257	0	0	0	0
17	1,394	0	0	0	5	1,389	0	0	0	0
18	1,300	0	0	0 0	0	942	358	0	0	0
19	1,232	0	0	0	0	131	1,101	0	0	0
20	1,152	0	0	0	0	5	1,147	0	0	0
21	1,214	0	0	0	0	1	1,213	0	0	0
22	1,161	0	0	0	0	0	788	373	0	0
23	1,041	0	0	0	0	0	78	963	0	0
24	1,036	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 1,036	0	0
25	1,559	0	0	0	0	0	2	1,557	0	
26	1,530	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,017	513	0
27	1,586	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	1,530	0
28	1,523	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1,520	0
29	1,558	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,557	1
30	1,375	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	493	882
31	1,268	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	1251
32	1,228	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1221
33	1,308	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1308
34	643	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	643
Total	50,542	6,066	6,251	6,170	6,271	5,149	4,687	5,005	5,637	5,306
Percent of Mailed Surveys	24.91%	2.99%	3.08%	3.04%	3.09%	2.54%	2.31%	2.47%	2.78%	2.62%

### 7. Cleaning, Editing, and Imputing Responses

One advantage that NSMO has over other surveys is the availability of credit and administrative data, much of which appears to be quite reliable. These data can be used to assist in the editing and imputation process. Four primary sources of such data are available in processing NSMO:

- (1) Credit data from Experian on sample loans;
- (2) Data collected by Experian from other data sources on the survey respondents, including loan servicers and data companies;
- (3) Information from matches to administrative loan files (Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Federal Housing Administration (FHA), Department of Veteran Affairs (VA), Rural Housing Services (RHS), and Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLB)); and
- (4) Information for loans that could be matched to Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) files.<sup>17</sup>

The credit and administrative data are used to determine which borrower in the Experian data corresponded to the respondent (and spouse/partner of the respondent) in the survey and to infer the loan the respondent had in mind when answering the survey. These data are also useful in determining if respondents correctly identified their loan as a home purchase loan or a refinance.

The survey responses are first subjected to thorough editing and review after being delivered to FHFA. This initial phase consists of standard editing—correcting numbers reported in the wrong units, changing answers in responses based on margin notes and comments, assigning responses for questions with open-ended "other" responses, dealing with multiple responses to a question that calls for only one response, and deciding how to handle situations where respondents followed the wrong skip pattern.

Tabulations of the raw unweighted—but edited—responses to all the survey questions are included in Appendix C. Data are presented for usable observations in Waves 1 through 34 with loan originations between 2013 and 2021. These observations are those included in the public use data file.<sup>18</sup>

After editing and cleaning the survey response data, missing responses are imputed using answers to related questions or statistical models estimated based on credit and administrative data and answers to other questions in the survey. Imputations are designed to replicate the level of inherent inconsistencies between related variables in the actual (non-imputed) responses by the respondents. Actual responses are generally not changed (except in cases where they are

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Merges with most administrative files are conducted behind a firewall at Experian using borrower name, address, date of birth and Social Security number to ensure the highest quality match accuracy (neither FHFA nor CFPB staff ever receive such information). However, merging the NMDB data with the HMDA data and the FHLB loan files must rely on variables common to both datasets, including the original loan balance, the opening date of the mortgage and the general location of the property (census tract or state/county) but not property address or borrower name. Unfortunately, mortgage servicers report the billing address of the mortgage borrowers to Experian, but this is not necessarily the property address, particularly for mortgages on non-owner-occupied properties. Those, when converted to a census tract for matching may be incorrect. Thus, HMDA merges are less accurate than those employing directly identifying information such as name and Social Security number because the latter are less reliant on address.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Section 10 contains an explanation of the differences between the public use file and the internal use file.

edited as described above). In order to preserve the original responses, the raw responses are retained with missing responses coded as such. A parallel set of variables ("X" variables) are constructed where all missing responses are imputed, and necessary responses are edited as described above. Each instance in which an X variable differs from original responses is recorded by a shadow variable ("J" variables) that indicates the method and reason why the change was made. Missing responses typically total about three to five percent of responses for most questions and only in a few instances were more than 10 percent. The X variables are not created when a directly comparable credit or administrative variable is available for all respondents (e.g., loan amount, loan payment, number of co-signers) as comparable credit or administrative variables can be used in lieu of survey responses in analysis. Instead, Z variables are created in their place to indicate whether the respondents answered the question.

The initial set of imputations are based on inferences drawn from patterns of response. Patterns of missing responses sometimes provide an indication of how the respondent would have answered if they had taken the time to fill out all answers of a group. For example, one question reads, "how important were each of the following..." and provides choices of important or not important. Some respondents only mark "important" for the choices important to them. Other respondents might only mark choices that are "not important." When all answers are in a group with only one side answered, the other answers are imputed as the opposite choice. For example, when a respondent only marks choices that are "important," the missing questions were imputed as "not important."

The survey skips do not always work for every respondent and some respondents miss the leadin question. The answers to the lead-in question were often imputed based on actual answers to the follow-up questions. For example, one question reads, "how many different mortgage lenders/brokers did you end up applying to" and provides options for one to five or more. When a respondent chooses one, they skip the next question about reasons they applied to more than one. If the lead-in question was left blank, any yes answer to the follow-up is considered a reason to impute that they applied to more than one lender. All "no" answers to the follow-up questions mean that they probably only applied to one lender. When respondents skip both a lead-in and follow-up question, both are imputed with one of the imputation models.

Once these inferential imputations are taken care of, statistical models are used to impute the remaining missing answers. The most common type of question in NSMO provides a simple "yes" or "no" answer. A binomial logistic model provides an estimated probability of a "yes" answer. For some questions, such as the number of lenders or brokers the respondent seriously considered, the answers are in a logical order. For these types of questions, an ordered logistic model is used to determine the probability of each answer. For other questions the order does not matter, and the answer choices are not related to the previous choice. For these questions, a multinomial logistic model is used, and the reference group is selected to be the most common answer. Again, the model produces a probability of each answer response. A random number is drawn with a different seed for every question and it is then compared to the probability of each response level. When the random number falls below the cumulative probability of an answer, that answer is used as the imputed response. This method injects some randomness while preserving strong correlations with the predicted values from the imputation models.

The dependent variable  $(y_i)$  in all the models used is the variable being imputed. The vector of characteristics  $(x_i)$  can include information from the credit files or answers to survey questions. Key demographic variables (for example, age, sex, education, ethnicity, and income) are imputed first. For these variables, high quality administrative data are generally available and can be used directly to impute a value for the X variable. For example, lender-reported information provides high quality data on age. Administrative data also provide reliable information on race, income, and interest rate. HMDA data also provide reliable information on race, income, and sex.

The initial statistical imputation models first use all the respondents who provided answers using a standard set of predictors to provide an initial imputation. Once the initial imputation values are established, the models are enhanced for any predictor that provides a good fit to the models and these models use actual and imputed values from all respondents. The missing values are imputed statistically using an iterative process where each subsequent run of the model uses the actual responses and the imputed responses from the previous run. Iterating in this way ensures that correlations among the imputed values will better reflect correlations among observations where responses were available.

Lead-in questions are always imputed before the follow-up question to keep the follow-up imputations consistent with the lead-in question.

As the recursive models run, the coefficient of each predictor variable in each model is tracked and compared with values from the previous runs. The recursive runs are only stopped when the coefficients have settled down with minimal changes in the last few runs. This ensures that the recursive effect on each model has fed into all the predictions of imputed values and stabilized.

To find the best model for each imputation, the last recursive run is selected, and the actual response is subtracted from the predicted value of the response. The difference represents the error term or the portion of the probability of a response that was not explained by the predictive variables. A large matrix of error terms is constructed, and the values are tested for correlation. Error terms with a correlation coefficient of over 0.30 get explored as possible indicators of new predictor variables. Each year, new predictors are placed into the recursive model. With improved models, the recursive runs are restarted until all the beta coefficients settle down again.

#### 8. Sample Non-Response Weights

There are several ways calculations based on the NSMO raw survey responses may not be representative of the population as a whole. First, as shown earlier in Table 3, the survey waves do not have the same sampling rates. Second, only about one-fourth of the sampled borrowers completed the survey. Commonly, in survey sampling, some individuals chosen for the sample are unwilling or unable to participate in the survey. Non-response bias is the bias that results when respondents differ systematically from non-respondents. A common method for mitigating possible non-response bias is to use weights to align the characteristics of respondents and the population more closely. This is known as "non-response weighting." Such weights are generally calculated from statistical models. Specifically, the non-response weights in NSMO are designed to "blow up" the usable sample (column 7 in Table 4) to the total surveys mailed (column 3 in Table 3), less duplicate and ineligible loans taken out of NMDB (column 3 in Table 4 and a comparable proportion of non-returned surveys not shown in the table).

Often, little is known about survey non-responders, so the statistical models used to construct non-response weights are quite simplistic. Unlike many other surveys, NSMO has extensive credit and administrative data on both responding and non-responding borrowers that can be used to estimate non-response weights.

Sample non-response weights are estimated with logistic models separately for each sample wave and within a wave for loans with a single borrower versus those with multiple borrowers. The models estimate the probability of getting a usable response for each wave of the survey. Key predictive variables included are loan amount, borrower age, the income relied upon for underwriting, the combined loan-to-value ratio, an indicator of whether it was a home purchase or refinance loan, and the interest rate spread over the prevailing prime interest rate at origination. The models also include credit score, geography using Census Divisions, and demographic characteristics like family composition, race, ethnicity, sex, and educational attainment.

The model's predicted probabilities of response were placed into 5 equal groups of 20 percent each. The average of the response rates from each of these five groups was used to calculate a response weight as the inverse of these five average rates. Once within-wave sample non-response weights are estimated, they are multiplied by the wave sample weight to provide an overall weight.

Table 6 demonstrates the effect of differential sampling weights for the first 34 waves. Column one shows the distribution among various demographic and loan categories of the raw survey responses. Column two provides the distribution using estimated overall weights. Finally, column three shows the average overall weight for each category.

	Unweighted	Weighted	
	Percentage	Percentage	Average Weight
Loan Category			
Purchase	46.30%	46.50%	1,426
Refinance	49.90%	49.80%	1,415
Other (i.e. bought out co-borrower, put loan on mortgage-free property)	3.80%	3.70%	1,359
	100.00%	100.00%	
Loan Size			
Less than \$50,000	2.10%	2.00%	1,348
\$50,000 to \$99,999	11.60%	10.60%	1,300
\$100,000 to \$149,999	17.90%	17.00%	1,346
\$150,000 to \$199,999	16.80%	16.50%	1,392
\$200,000 to \$249,999	13.70%	13.80%	1,424
\$250,000 to \$299,999	10.60%	10.60%	1,418
\$300,000 to \$349,999	7.90%	8.20%	1,478
\$350,000 to \$399,999	5.60%	5.80%	1,486
\$400,000 or more	13.90%	15.50%	1,581
	100.00%	100.00%	
Mortgage Term to Maturity			
Less than 15 Years	3.70%	3.00%	1,168
15 Years	15.60%	14.20%	1,284
Between 15 and 30 Years	6.80%	7.10%	1,480
30 Years or More	73.90%	75.70%	1,452
	100.00%	100.00%	
Loan to Value (LTV) Ratio at Origination			
Less than 75%	41.70%	39.80%	1,352
75% to 79%	11.60%	11.20%	1,371
80%	9.70%	9.30%	1,366
81% to 89%	8.90%	8.90%	1,421
90% or More	28.10%	30.80%	1,550
	100.00%	100.00%	
Respondent Credit Score (VantageScore® 3.0)			
Lower than 620	4.60%	6.00%	1,853
620 to 639	3.00%	3.80%	1,808
640 to 659	4.50%	5.70%	1,772
660 to 679	5.20%	6.20%	1,680
680 to 699	5.90%	6.70%	1,605
700 to 719	7.10%	7.80%	1,569
720 to 739	9.00%	9.40%	1,492
740 or Higher	60.70%	54.40%	1,270
	100.00%	100.00%	

#### 9. Sampling Error

Errors in survey values can stem from several sources. Sampling error—the variability expected in estimates based on a sample instead of a census—is often an important source of error. For NSMO, estimates of statistics that apply to most mortgages may be measured with reasonable precision, but sampling variability will generally be greater for estimates for less-common types of loans or mortgage features.

Other errors occur because borrowers who respond to the survey or to a particular question are not random. Imputation and sample non-response weights correct for some, but not all, of this error. Other errors occur when respondents interpret a question differently than intended by the survey or other respondents. As noted above, for some questions, this problem was serious enough to call into question the use of the variable.

Analyses of these data with software that assumes the data are from a simple random sample will under-estimate the standard errors (statistical precision) of the estimates. Users are encouraged to use analytic procedures (so-called "survey" procedures in most major statistical analysis packages) that take into account the effect of the differential sampling and non-response adjustment weights on the estimates.

#### 10. Security and Privacy Measures

The most important way NMDB protects its sampled borrowers is by keeping all personally identifiable information (PII) behind Experian's firewalls. No Social Security numbers, names, addresses, or institution names ever arrive at FHFA.

The use of a sample in the full NMDB also substantially reduces the privacy risk associated with any data collection. By contrast, a universal registry can present challenges for privacy since it is known that a particular loan must be in the dataset. However, for a 1-in-20 sample, the odds are 95 out of 100 that a particular loan is not in the database. In addition, the sample used is large enough to support almost all types of statistically valid analyses, but small enough to manage logistically, thus dramatically reducing both contract and computing costs.

All survey responses for NSMO received by Westat are purged of any information related to the name of the borrower, address of the borrower, or name of any financial institution before leaving Westat. This is done to maintain the de-identified, confidential nature of the data and to ensure that the survey responses cannot be connected to a name or address. The questionnaire also instructs recipients not to include their names or addresses when completing the questionnaire.

As a final security measure, two versions of the NSMO data are made available outside of the production environment: a public use file (PUF) available to the general public and an internal use file (IUF) accessible only to federal employees who have signed an agreement to use the data responsibly.

Neither the IUF nor the PUF contain any PII from borrowers, but the IUF contains geographic data and continuous variables that have been deemed a reidentification risk and are therefore not released to the public. The U.S. Census Bureau periodically reviews the PUF to ensure that its release cannot jeopardize respondent privacy. More information about measures taken to protect publicly available data can be found in the next section of this report.

#### 11. Public Use File

On November 8, 2018, FHFA and CFPB released the first NSMO public use file based on the first 15 quarterly waves of the survey. It has since been updated regularly, with the most recent update published in July 2024. This updated file contains data for 50,542 sample mortgages originated from 2013 through 2021 based on 5,000 to 6,000 usable surveys per year.<sup>19</sup>

To ensure that the public use file adequately protects privacy, FHFA entered into an agreement with the U.S. Census Bureau to conduct an independent third-party assessment of the proposed public use file to determine whether PII can be re-identified from the NSMO public use data set by matching against other publicly available data sets. On June 11, 2021, the U.S. Census Bureau submitted a memorandum to FHFA stating that the NSMO public use data and the methods used to protect privacy in that data met its privacy standard for public release. The same methods were used for the current public data release.

The public use file excludes virtually all geographic variables to protect privacy. Specifically, the public use file excludes geographical information such as Census region, Census division, state, county, city, zip code, and Census tract. It only includes a flag indicating whether the property associated with the mortgage was in a metropolitan area tract designated as low-to-moderate income (LMI) for Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) purposes, non-CRA-LMI metropolitan tract, or in a non-metropolitan area.

The public use file contains 318 survey data elements on mortgage shopping behavior, mortgage closing experience as well as borrower perception, opinion, and outlook about their mortgages. It also contains over 200 supplementary data elements about the sample mortgages and borrowers, such as the loan amount (in \$50,000 categories), loan-to-value ratio, debt-to-income ratio, origination and quarterly VantageScore® 3.0 credit scores of borrowers, and quarterly performance status of the mortgages through September 2023.

ı J

23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The NSMO public use file does not include "remote rural" special sample loans originated in 2014 because they were sampled differently.

# Appendix A. NSMO Letters and Questionnaire, Wave 34

This appendix shows the NSMO cover letters and survey questionnaire (or instrument) that was mailed to the borrowers associated with the sampled mortgages during Wave 34. All the questionnaires used in Waves 1 through 40 are available on <a href="https://www.fhfa.gov/nsmodata">www.fhfa.gov/nsmodata</a>.





#### IMPROVING MORTGAGE EXPERIENCES IN AMERICA

National Survey of Mortgage Originations

101

May 16, 2022

We are writing to ask for your help.

It is our understanding that you have taken out or co-signed on a mortgage loan sometime in the last two years. We want to learn about your experience, whether your mortgage was to purchase a housing property or to refinance or modify an existing loan.

The **Federal Housing Finance Agency** and the **Consumer Financial Protection Bureau** are working together on this study. Understanding your experience is particularly important in developing policies to assist consumers when obtaining a mortgage.

You can complete the paper copy and return it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope or complete the survey online in either of the following ways:

To complete the survey on a computer or tablet, please go to: www.NSMOsurvey.com Then, enter this unique access code: <123 456 789>

To complete the survey on a mobile device/smartphone, text your unique access code <123 456 789> to (202) 759-2029 to receive a link to the survey.

The unique access number helps us keep track of returned surveys and not send needless reminders.

Completing the survey is voluntary. Your answers will not be connected to your name or any other identifying information. If you have any questions about this study, please call us toll free 1-855-339-7877 or visit our web sites, www.fhfa.gov/nsmo or www.consumerfinance.gov.

We realize that answering this survey will take some time and effort, so we have enclosed a small token of appreciation as a way of saying thanks.

Sincerely,

Daniel E. Coates

Deputy Director for Research and Statistics

Federal Housing Finance Agency

Davil E. Cooks

fhfa.gov

Janis K. Pappalardo

Associate Director for Research, Markets, and Regulations

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau

Janis St. Pappalardo

consumerfinance.gov

Para leer esta carta en español por favor vea el dorso.





#### MEJORANDO LOS TRÁMITES HIPOTECARIOS EN ESTADOS UNIDOS

Encuesta Nacional de Apertura de Hipotecas

101

16 de mayo de 2022

Por medio de la presente queremos solicitar su ayuda.

Tenemos entendido que usted obtuvo o firmó un préstamo hipotecario en los últimos dos años. Queremos conocer su experiencia ya sea que su hipoteca fue para comprar una vivienda o para refinanciar o modificar un préstamo existente.

La Agencia Federal de Financiamiento de Vivienda y la Oficina para la Protección Financiera del Consumidor están trabajando conjuntamente en este estudio. Comprender su experiencia es de especial importancia para poder crear políticas que ayuden a los consumidores cuando obtienen hipotecas.

Puede contestar la encuesta impresa y enviarla de vuelta en el sobre pre-pagado, o la puede contestar por Internet de cualquiera de estas dos maneras:

Para contestar la encuesta en una computadora o tableta, por favor, vaya a: www.NSMOsurvey.com y anote este código de acceso único: <123 456 789>

Para contestar la encuesta en un aparato móvil/teléfono inteligente, envíe en un mensaje de texto su código de acceso único <123 456 789> al (202) 759-2029 para recibir un enlace a la encuesta

El número de acceso único nos ayuda a darle seguimiento a las encuestas devueltas y no tener que enviar recordatorios innecesarios.

Contestar la encuesta es voluntario. Sus respuestas no se relacionarán con su nombre ni con otra información que lo pudiera identificar. Si tiene alguna pregunta acerca de este estudio, nos puede llamar a la línea directa y gratuita 1-855-339-7877 o ir a nuestras páginas web, fhfa.gov/nsmo o consumerfinance.gov.

Sabemos que contestar esta encuesta tomará algo de tiempo y dedicación, por lo que hemos adjuntado una pequeña muestra de agradecimiento.

Atentamente,

Daniel E. Coates

Davil E. Cooks

Director asistente de investigación y estadística La Agencia Federal de Financiamiento de Vivienda fhfa.gov Janis K. Pappalardo

Janis St. Pappalardo

Subdirector de Investigación, Mercados, y Regulación La Oficina para la Protección Financiera del Consumidor consumerfinance.gov

To read this letter in English, please turn over to the other side.

# Improving Mortgage Experiences in America

# **National Survey of Mortgage Originations**



We are conducting this survey of people who have taken out or co-signed for a mortgage loan to purchase a housing property or to refinance or modify an existing loan.

Learning directly from borrowers like you about your mortgage experiences will help us improve lending practices and the mortgage process for future borrowers like you. It is important to get the perspective of all borrowers for making government policies.

You can mail back the paper survey in the enclosed business reply envelope or complete the survey online. The online version may be easier to complete because it skips any questions that do not apply to you based on your responses. Online responses are also processed more quickly, making it less likely that you will receive reminders to complete this survey. We appreciate your help either way.

To complete the survey on a computer or tablet

Go to: www.NSMOsurvey.com

Enter the unique access code provided in the letter we sent you.

To complete the survey on a mobile device/smartphone Text your unique access code to (202) 759-2029.

Para contestar la encuesta en una computadora o tableta

Vaya a: www.NSMOsurvey.com

Ingrese el código de acceso único que se le envió en la carta.

Para contestar la encuesta en un aparato móvil/teléfono inteligente

Envíe en un mensaje de texto su código de acceso único al (202) 759-2029.

**ABOUT THE SPONSORS:** The **Federal Housing Finance Agency** and the **Consumer Financial Protection Bureau** are working together to sponsor this survey. We are doing this because both agencies are concerned with improving the safety of the U.S. housing finance system and making sure all consumers have better access to mortgages. Thanks so much for helping us assist future borrowers.

You can find more information on our websites - fhfa.gov/nsmo and consumerfinance.gov

Your answers to this survey will help us as we improve the safety of the U.S. housing finance system and help to ensure that people have access to funds needed to build or improve housing.

We look forward to hearing from you.

**Privacy Act Notice:** In accordance with the Privacy Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. § 552a), the following notice is provided. The information requested on this Survey is collected pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 4544 for the purposes of gathering information for the National Mortgage Database. Routine uses which may be made of the collected information can be found in the Federal Housing Finance Agency's System of Records Notice (SORN) FHFA-21 National Mortgage Database. Providing the requested information is voluntary. Submission of the survey authorizes FHFA to collect the information provided and to disclose it as set forth in the referenced SORN.

**Paperwork Reduction Act Statement:** Notwithstanding any other provision of the law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act, unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

OMB No. 2590-0012 Expires 6/30/2023

1.	Did you take out or co-sign f sometime in the last couple of purchase or any refinance/m	f year	rs includi	ng a	6.	When you began the process of getting this mortgage, how concerned were you about qualifying for a mortgage?				
	existing loan?					□ Very □	Somewhat		☐ No	t at all
	- Yes				7.	How firm an idea did mortgage you wante		e abo	ut the	
2.	When did you take out this r out or co-signed for more than refer to your experience with t	one n	nortgage, j				Some idea		☐ Lit	ttle idea
	refinance, modification, or nev	efinance, modification, or new mortgage.					se each of nation abo			
	month year					mortgage lenders?		A	A	Not
3.	Did we mail this survey to th	e add	ress of th	e		Your mortgage lender/b	roker	Lot	Little	At All
	property you financed with	this m	ortgage?			Other mortgage lenders/				
	☐ Yes ☐ No					Real estate agents or bui				
_	****	41.	4 0			Material in the mail				
4.	Who signed or co-signed for Mark <u>all</u> that apply.	tnis n	ortgage?			Websites that provide in on getting a mortgage	formation			
	☐ I signed					Newspaper/TV/Radio				
	Spouse/partner including a for	mer sp	ouse/partne	er		Friends/relatives/co-wor				
	Parents Children					Bankers, credit unions o planners	r financial			
	Other relatives					Housing counselors				
	Other (e.g. friend, business pa	rtner)				Other (specify)				
$\rightarrow$	If you co-signed this loan wit account all co-signers as best answering the survey. If no based on your own situation	t you o co-sig	can when		9.	Which <u>one</u> of the foll shopping process?	lowing be	st des	□ cribes y	our
5.	When you began the process					☐ I picked the loan type mortgage lender/b		then I	picked th	e
	mortgage, how familiar were co-signers) with each of the f			NT. 4		☐ I picked the mortgag I picked the loan ty		oker fi	rst, and th	nen
		Very	Somewhat	Not At All	10	Which are of the fell	lowing ho	st dos	arihas h	0.117
	The mortgage interest rates available at that time				10.	Which <u>one</u> of the foll you applied for this i	mortgage'	?		
	The different types of mortgages available					☐ Directly to a lender,☐ Through a mortgage				ion
	The mortgage process					multiple lenders to			S WILII	
	The down payment needed to qualify for a mortgage					☐ Through a builder wl			cing	
	The income needed to qualify for a mortgage				11		mortaga	lond	ors/brol	70 <b>r</b> 6
	Your credit history or credit score				11. How many different mortgage lenders/brok did you seriously consider before choosing where to apply for this mortgage?					XCI S
	The money needed at closing								☐ 5 or m	nore

20097

12. How many different mortgage lenders/brokers					17. How important were each of the following in				
	did you end up applying to?			(	determining the mortgage you took	out?			
Г	<u>1234</u>	] 5 or mo	re		-	ortant	Not Important		
	13. Did you apply to more than one mortgage lender/broker for any of the following reasons?				Lower interest rate  Lower APR (Annual Percentage Rate)  Lower closing fees  Lower down payment  Lower monthly payment				
	Searching for better loan terms	Yes	No		An interest rate fixed for the life	_			
	Concern over qualifying for a loan		H	I					
	Information learned from the	· Ш		A	A term of 30 years	=	H		
	"Loan Estimate" Turned down on earlier application	n $\square$			No mortgage insurance	5			
	runica down on earner appreasion	и 📙			Your lender may have given you a		et		
14.	How important were each of the f				<b>'Your home loan toolkit: A step-by</b>				
	choosing the mortgage lender/bro	ker you	used	8	guide," do you remember receiving	a cop	y?		
	for the mortgage you took out?		NT. 4		Yes				
	In	nportant I	Not mportant		□ No ☐ arr + 20				
	Having an established banking relationship				Don't know				
	Having a local office or branch nearby			19. I	Did the "Your home loan toolkit" k	ookle	t lead		
	Used previously to get a mortgage				you to ask additional questions abo				
	Mortgage lender/broker is a personal friend or relative			1	mortgage terms?	v			
	Paperless online mortgage process				Yes No				
	Recommendation from a friend/ relative/co-worker				In the process of getting this mortg		om		
	Recommendation from a real estate agent/home builder				your mortgage lender/broker, did y	Yes	No		
	Reputation of mortgage lender/broker				Have to add another co-signer to qualify				
	Spoke my primary language, which is not English				Resolve credit report errors or problems  Answer follow-up requests for more				
	Accommodations for people with disabilities		П		information about income or assets Have more than one appraisal				
	districts			I	Redo/refile paperwork due to processing delays				
15.	Who initiated the first contact bet			I	Delay or postpone closing date				
	the mortgage lender/broker you u mortgage you took out?	sed for	I	Have your "Loan Estimate" revised to reflect changes in your loan terms					
	☐ I (or one of my co-signers) did			(	Check other sources to confirm that				
	☐ The mortgage lender/broker did				terms of this mortgage were reasonable				
	We were put in contact by a third pa real estate agent or home builder)	rty (sucn	as a	21. V	<b>Was the "Loan Estimate" you rece</b>	ived f	rom your		
				1	mortgage lender/broker				
16.	How open were you to suggestions					Yes	No		
	mortgage lender/broker about mo	rtgages	with		Easy to understand				
	different features or terms?			7	Valuable information				
	☐ Very ☐ Somewhat		Not at all						

22.	Did the "Loan Estimate" lead you to				27. Overall, how satisfied are you that the mortgage you got was the one with the					
	Ask questions of your mortgage lender/	Yes	No	,	mortgage you got was the o	ne wit	n the	NT. 4		
	broker					Very	Somewhat	Not At All		
	Seek a change in your loan or closing			]	Best terms to fit your needs					
	Apply to a different mortgage		_	]	Lowest interest rate for which	_				
	lender/broker			,	you could qualify					
					Lowest closing costs					
23.	Ouring the application process were you told bout mortgages with any of the following?		28.	28. Overall, how satisfied are you with the						
	A	Yes	No			Verv	Somewhat	Not At All		
	An interest rate that is fixed for the life of the loan			]	Mortgage lender/broker you used	П				
	An interest rate that could change over the life of the loan			4	Application process					
	A term of less than 30 years				Documentation process					
	A higher interest rate in return for lower				required for the loan					
	closing costs				Property appraisal					
	A lower interest rate in return for paying				Loan closing process					
	higher closing costs (discount points)				Information in mortgage disclosure documents	П				
	Interest-only monthly payments			,	Timeliness of mortgage	Ш	Ш			
	An escrow account for taxes and/or homeowner insurance	П	п		disclosure documents					
			ш	:	Settlement agent					
	A prepayment penalty (fee if the mortgage is paid off early)			20 1	Did von toko o oonwoo ahou	t ham	. huvina a			
	Reduced documentation or "easy"	П			Did you take a course about talk to a professional housir			И		
	approval	Ш	ш		_	ig cou	nscioi .			
	An FHA, VA, USDA or Rural Housing loan				∐ Yes					
24.	I. In selecting your settlement/closing agent did you			<b>↓</b> 30 '	<b>Was your home-buying cou</b>	rse or	counselin	ισ		
	use someone		20.	vvas yvar nome baying eva			·s···			
	Selected/recommended by the mortgage	Yes	No	ı	In person, one-on-one	Ye	s No			
	lender/broker, or real estate agent				In person, in a group		) [			
	You used previously				Over the phone	F	1			
	Found shopping around				Online					
	_				Required					
	Did not have a settlement/closing agent									
25.	Do you have title insurance on this m		How many hours was your course or counseling?	home-	buying					
	<b>-</b> □ Yes				Less than 3 hours					
	No Skip to 27				$\square$ 3 – 6 hours					
					7 − 12 hours					
					More than 12 hours					
<b>26.</b>	Which one best describes how you picked the									
	title insurance?  Reissued previous title insurance			32. Overall, how helpful was your home-buying						
				(	course or counseling?					
	Used title insurance recommended by m	ortgage	•		☐ Very ☐ Somewhat		Not at all			
	Shopped around									
	lender/broker or settlement agent  Shopped around									



33.	33. Which one of these reasons best describes this most recent mortgage?  To buy a property					How important were the following in your decision to refinance, modify or obtain a new mortgage?				
	To refinance or modify an earlier mortgage	efinance or modify an lier mortgage dd/remove co-signer(s)/co-owner(s) inance a construction loan ake out a new loan on a ortgage-free property		Skip to 37-		Get Ren Get Cor Rep	ange to a fixed-rate loan a lower interest rate nove private mortgage i a lower monthly paymon asolidate or pay down on the loan more quick the out cash	nsurance ent ther deb		Not Important
↓ 34.	Did you do the following befor made an offer on this house or		rty?	Did	38.		proximately how mo old mortgage(s) and			
	Contacted a lender to explore mortgage options	Offer	Offer	Not Do		\$ _ _	Zero (the property was	mortgag	.00 ge-free)	
	Got a pre-approval or pre- qualification from a lender Decided on the type of loan Made a decision on which				39.	. Did you use the money you got from this new mortgage for any of the following?  Yes No				
	lender to use Submitted an official loan application					Aut Buy	lege expenses o or other major purcha out co-signer(s)/co-ow			
35.	35. Did you use any of the following sources of funds to buy this property?					Hor Sav Clo	off other bills or debts me repairs or new const ings sing costs of new mortg iness or investment			
	Proceeds from the sale of another pr	operty	Used	Used		Oth	er (specify)			
	Savings, retirement account, inherita or other assets	ance,								
	Assistance or loan from a nonprofit government agency					Did not get money from refinancing				
	A second lien, home equity loan, or equity line of credit (HELOC)						This M	ortga	age	
36.	Gift or loan from family or friend Seller contribution  What percent of the purchase	nrice v	⊔ □		<del>&gt;</del> 40.	refi	nen you took out this inance, what was the rowed?			
	down payment to buy this prop money from a prior home sale.	perty (	inclu	ding		\$		.00	☐ Don't kn	ow
	□ 0% □ Less than 3% □ 3% to less than 5% □ 5% to less than 10% □ 10% to less than 20%						nat is the monthly pa ount paid to escrow			
						\$_		.00	☐ Don't kn	ow
						. Wh	nat is the interest rat	e on th	is mortgag	ge?
	Skip to 40					_	%		☐ Don't kn	ow



43.	decided on the interest rate of y  ☐ Paid higher closing costs to get lo	y <b>our n</b> ower ir	<b>nortga</b> nterest r	ge?	49.	After closing on this mortgage, how reserves in checking, savings, and ot assets did you have remaining?	ther sin				
	Paid lower closing costs with a higher interest rate					Less than one month's mortgage payme					
	Got a balance between closing costs and interest rate					1-2 months' worth of mortgage payments					
44.	Does this mortgage have			Don't		☐ 3-6 months' worth of mortgage paymer ☐ 7 months' worth or more of mortgage p		ts			
	A	Yes	No	Know	50.	Did you seek input about your closin	ıg docı	uments			
	A prepayment penalty (fee if the mortgage is paid off early)		П			from any of the following people?	*7	<b>*</b> **			
	An escrow account for taxes and/or					Mortgage lender/broker	Yes	No			
	homeowner insurance					Settlement/closing agent	H	H			
	An adjustable rate (one that can					Real estate agent	H	Н			
	change over the life of the loan)					Personal attorney	П	T I			
	A balloon payment					Title insurance agent					
	Interest-only payments					Trusted friend or relative who is not					
	Private mortgage insurance					a co-signer on the mortgage					
						Housing counselor					
45.	At any time after you made you	ır fina	al loan			Other (specify)					
	application did any of the following change?										
	Higher			Lower				_			
	Monthly payment				51.	. Did you face any of the following at your <u>loan</u>					
	Interest rate					closing?	· –	_			
	Other fees						Yes	No			
	Amount of money needed					Loan documents not ready at closing Closing did not occur as originally	Ш	ш			
	to close loan					scheduled		П			
						Three-day rule required re-disclosure	П	$\Box$			
46.	The "Closing Disclosure" state					Mortgage terms different at closing than					
	at closing shows the loan closin					expected, e.g. interest rate, monthly					
	closing costs separately. What		the loa	n		payment					
	closing costs you paid on this lo	an?				More cash needed at closing than expected, e.g. escrow, unexpected fees	П				
	Φ Γ	Don't know				Less cash needed at closing than					
	.00		I t KIIOW			expected					
17	How wore the total closing cost	c (loo	n aasts	and		Asked to sign blank documents at closing	$\overline{\Box}$	$\overline{\Box}$			
4/.	How were the total closing costs (loan costs and other costs) for this loan paid?					Asked to sign pre-dated or post-dated					
	other costs) for this loan para.		NT.	Don't		documents at closing					
	By me or a co-signer with a check	Yes	No	Know		Felt rushed at closing or not given time					
	or wire transfer	П	П			to read documents					
	Added to the mortgage amount	$\overline{\Box}$									
	By mortgage lender/broker	Ħ	П	П	<b>52.</b>	Is there any additional problem you					
	By seller/builder	$\overline{\Box}$	$\overline{\Box}$			while getting this mortgage that you	'd like	to tell			
	Other (specify)	_	_			us about?					
		П	П								
	Loan had no closing costs	Ш									
4.5											
48.	Were the loan costs you paid si										
	had expected to pay based on the			ımates							
	or Closing Disclosures you received?  ☐ Yes ☐ No										



54.	3. At the same time you took out this mortgage, did you also take out another loan on the property you financed with this mortgage (a second lien, home equity loan, or a home equity line of credit (HELOC))?  — Yes  No → Skip to 55  1. What was the amount of this loan?  \$00  Don't know  5. How well could you explain to someone the				59	59. Which one of the following best describes this property?  Single-family detached house  Mobile home or manufactured home  Townhouse, row house, or villa  2-unit, 3-unit, or 4-unit dwelling  Apartment (or condo/co-op) in apartment building  Unit in a partly commercial structure  Other (specify)				
		Vom	Somewhat	Not		☐ Yes ☐ No				
	Process of taking out a mortgage	Very	Somewnat	At All	6	♥ 61. About how much do you think this property is				
	Difference between a fixed- and an adjustable-rate mortgage				U.	worth in terms of what you could sell it for now?				
	Difference between a prime and subprime loan					\$00				
	Difference between a mortgage's interest rate and its APR				62	62. Do you rent out all or any portion of this property?				
	Amortization of a loan  Consequences of not making required mortgage payments	П	П	П		Yes ☐ No → Skip to 64				
	Difference between lender's and owner's title insurance				6.	53. How much rent do you receive annually?				
	Relationship between discount points and interest rate					\$00 per year				
	Reason payments into an escrow account can change				64	64. Besides you, the mortgage co-signers, and				
	This Mortgaged Property					renters, does anyone else help pay the expenses for this property?				
56	When did you first become th	ie owr	er of thi	is		☐ Yes ☐ No				
30.	56. When did you first become the owner of this property?				65. Which <u>one</u> of the following best describes how you use this property?					
	month year				Primary residence (where you					
57.	57. What was the purchase price of this property, or if you built it, how much did the construction and land cost?  \$00				spend the majority of your time)  It will be my primary residence soon Seasonal or second home Home for other relatives Rental or investment property Other (specify)					
58. Which one of the following best describes how you acquired this property?				6	66. If primary residence, when did you move into					
☐ Purchased an existing home				this property?						
	Purchased a newly-built home from a builder					,				
Had or purchased land and built a house				month year						
	☐ Received as a gift or inheritance ☐ Other (specify)					month you				

20097

	Which one of the following best describes your				Your Household						
	willingness or ability to	o move fr	om you	r	72. What is your current man	ital status?					
	primary residence?				☐ Married						
	Unwilling to move				☐ Separated						
	☐ Willing and able to mo				☐ Never married						
	Willing but unable to n				Divorced						
	Unsure/Don't know at	this time			□ Widowed						
	In the last couple years, how have the following changed in the neighborhood where this				73. Do you have a partner who shares the						
	property is located?  Significant Little/No Significant			decision-making and responsibilities of running your household but is not your legal spouse?							
	Number of homes for sale	Increase	Change	Decrease	☐ Yes ☐ No						
	Number of vacant homes	H	-H	-							
	Number of homes for rent	H		H	Please answer the following q	uestions for	vou and				
	Number of foreclosures or				your spouse or partner, if app		<i>J</i> =				
	short sales										
	House prices				74. Age at last birthday:						
	Overall desirability of		_			You	Spouse/ Partner				
	living there					100	Tarther				
						years	years				
	What do you think wil										
	homes in this neighborhood over the next				75. Sex:						
	couple of years?					You	Spouse/ Partner				
	Increase a lot				Male						
	Increase a little				Female						
	Remain about the same	•				_	_				
	Decrease a little				76. Highest level of education achieved:						
	Decrease a lot				_		Spouse/				
70	T (1 ) 1 C		,	4	Some schooling	You	Partner				
	In the next couple of yethe overall desirability			expect							
	neighborhood to chang	_	in this		High school graduate						
	_ `				Technical school						
	Become more desirable	2			Some college						
	Stay about the same				College graduate						
	Become less desirable				Postgraduate studies						
	1. How likely is it that in the next couple of years you will				77. Hispanic or Latino:						
	jou willion			Not		You	Spouse/ Partner				
	C 11 41:	Ve	ry Somev	what At All	Yes						
	Sell this property	L			No						
	Move but keep this proper Refinance the mortgage or										
	this property				<b>78.</b> Race: Mark <u>all</u> that apply.		Spouse/				
	Pay off this mortgage and	own				You	Spouse/ Partner				
	the property mortgage-fr				White						
					Black or African American						
					American Indian or Alaska Na	tive					
					Asian						
					Native Hawaiian or Pacific Isl	ander					

<b>79.</b> Current work status: Mark <u>all</u> that apply.				85. Approximately how much is your total annual				
		Von	Spouse/ Partner		household income from all sources			
	Self-employed full time	You			salaries, tips, interest, child support, income, retirement, social security, an			
	Self-employed part time				Less than \$35,000	ia aiiii	ony).	
	Employed full time				\$35,000 to \$49,999			
	Employed part time				\$50,000 to \$74,999			
	Retired	$\overline{\Box}$			□ \$75,000 to \$99,999			
	Unemployed, temporarily laid-off		_		\$100,000 to \$174,999			
	or on leave				\$175,000 or more			
	Not working for pay (student, homemaker, disabled)			86.	How does this total annual househo compare to what it is in a "normal"			
80.	Ever served on active duty in the	e U.S. A	rmed		Higher than normal	ycai.		
	Forces, Reserves or National Gu				Normal			
			Spouse/		Lower than normal			
	Never served in the military	You	Partner		_			
	Only on active duty for training in			<b>87.</b>	Does your total annual household in			
	the Reserves or National Guard				include any of the following sources	?		
	Now on active duty				Wasananalan	Yes	No	
	On active duty in the past, but	П			Wages or salary Business or self-employment			
	not now	ш			Interest or dividends	H	H	
01	D: 1 ( 1	4>			Alimony or child support	H	H	
81.	Besides you (and your spouse/pa lives in your household? Mark all				Social Security, pension or other	Ш		
		_	pıy.		retirement benefits			
	Children/grandchildren under age							
	☐ Children/grandchildren age 18 – 22 ☐ Children/grandchildren age 23 or older ☐ Parents of you or your spouse or partner			88. Does anyone in your household have any of the				
					following?			
	Other relatives like siblings or cou					Yes	No	
	☐ Non-relative				401(k), 403(b), IRA, or pension plan			
					Stocks, bonds, or mutual funds (not in retirement accounts or pension plans)			
	☐ No one else				Certificates of deposit	H	H	
02	<b>D</b> 1.1 (1.4)		1.1		Investment real estate	Н	H	
82.	Do you speak a language other t home?	nan Eng	giish at			_	_	
				89.	Which one of the following statemen	nts bes	t	
	Yes				describes the amount of financial ri		are	
	$\square$ No $\rightarrow$ Skip to 85				willing to take when you save or ma	ke		
83.	Was it important to get your mortgage				investments?			
	documents in this language?	8.8			Take substantial financial risks expect substantial returns			
Q1		s in this			Take above-average financial risks expean above-average returns			
04.	84. Did you get mortgage documents in this language?				Take average financial risks expecting average returns	to earn		
	☐ Yes ☐ No				☐ Not willing to take any financial risks			



90.	Do you agree or disagree with the following statements?			93. In the last couple years, how have the following changed for you (and your spouse/partner)?					
		Agree	Disagree	Significant Little/No Significant					
	Owning a home is a good financial investment			Increase Change Decrease Household income					
	Most mortgage lenders generally treat borrowers well			Housing expenses					
	Most mortgage lenders would offer me roughly the same rates and fees			94. In the next couple of years, how do you expect					
	Late payments will lower my credit rating			the following to change for you (and your spouse/partner)?					
	Lenders shouldn't care about any late payments, only whether loans are fully repaid			Significant Little/No Significant Increase Change Decrease Household income					
	It is okay to default or stop making mortgage payments if it is in the borrower's financial interest			Housing expenses					
	I would consider counseling or taking a course about managing my finances if I faced financial difficulties			95. How likely is it that in the next couple of years you (or your spouse/partner) will face					
				Very Somewhat At All					
91.	In the last couple of years, have any	of the	e	Retirement					
	following happened to you?	Yes	No	Difficulties making your mortgage payments					
	Separated, divorced or partner left			A layoff, unemployment, or					
	Married, remarried or new partner			forced reduction in hours					
	Death of a household member			Some other personal financial crisis					
	Addition to your household (not spouse/partner)			crisis					
	Person leaving your household (not spouse/partner)			96. If your household faced an unexpected personal financial crisis in the next couple of					
	Disability or serious illness of household member			years, how likely is it you could Not  Very Somewhat At All					
	Disaster affecting a property you own			Pay your bills for the next 3 months without borrowing					
	Disaster affecting your (or your								
	spouse/partner's) work Moved within the area (less than 50 miles)	Ц		Get significant financial help from family or friends					
	Moved to a new area (50 miles or more)		H	Borrow a significant amount					
	wioved to a new area (30 nmes of more)			from a bank or credit union					
92.	In the last couple of years, have any following happened to you (or your spouse/partner)?	of the	e	Significantly increase your income					
	Layoff, unemployment, or reduced hours of work	Yes	No						
	Retirement	ī	$\overline{}$						
	Promotion								
	Starting a new job								
	Starting a second job								
	Business failure								
	A personal financial crisis								



# The Federal Housing Finance Agency and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau thank you for completing this survey.

We have provided space below for any additional comments.

If the COVID-19 (coronavirus) situation has affected or might affect your ability to make your mortgage payments, please tell us about it here.

Please do not put your name or address on the questionnaire.

Please use the enclosed business reply envelope to return your completed questionnaire.

FHFA

1600 Research Blvd, RC B16

Rockville, MD 20850



For any questions about the survey or online access you can call toll free 1-855-339-7877.

## Appendix B. Retired NSMO Questions

This appendix lists the NSMO questions that were retired after Waves 6, 10, 14, 18, 24, and 34.

#### **Questions Retired After Wave 6**

13. How important were each of the following in choosing the lender/broker you used for the mortgage you took out?

Lender is a community bank or credit union

Recommendation from a lending website

- Very
- Somewhat
- Not At All
- 19. In the process of getting your mortgage from your lender/broker, did you...

Add a co-signer

- Yes
- No
- 36. Many mortgages have discount points paid to the lender to get a lower interest rate. Did you pay any discount points?
  - Yes
  - No
- 37. (If Yes in Q36) How many discount points did you pay?
- 38. Some lenders charge origination points to cover the cost of preparing a mortgage for closing. These are often expressed as a percentage of the loan amount. Did you pay any origination points?
  - Yes
  - No
- 39. (If Yes in Q38) How many origination points did you pay?
- 40. Does your mortgage have any of the following features?

Owner's title insurance

Credit life/debt suspension/debt cancellation insurance

- Yes
- No
- Don't Know
- 51. Which statement best describes the approach you took to purchase your house or property?
  - Had a pre-approval or pre-qualification from a lender before I made the purchase
  - Shopped for a mortgage after making the purchase offer
  - Shopped for house and mortgage at the same time

- 53. (If Yes to Q52) How much money from the sale of this other property was used to purchase your property?
- 64. (If multiple units in Q63) How many separate living units does your mortgage cover?
- 70. Is anyone who currently lives in the property a co-signer on your mortgage?
  - Yes
  - No
- 75. Ever owned other residential properties besides this one:

You

Spouse/Partner

- Yes
- No
- 81. Besides you (and your spouse/partner), does anyone else live in your household or use your house as a permanent address?
  - Yes
  - No
- 82. (If Yes to Q81) How many of the other household members are 18 years of age or younger?
- 83. (If Yes to Q81) How many of the other household members are older than 18?
- 84. (If Yes to Q81) Do any of the other household members help pay for household expenses?
  - Yes
  - No

#### **Questions Retired After Wave 10**

- 3. Including you, how many people signed or cosigned for this mortgage?
  - 1
  - 2
  - 3
  - 4 or more
- 19. In the process of getting this mortgage from your lender/broker, did you...

End up with better mortgage terms than you were originally offered

- Yes
- No
- 49. Did you compare the final loan costs to the final Good Faith Estimate you received from your lender?
  - Yes
  - No

- 50. Did you find any significant differences between the two?
  - Yes
  - No

#### **Questions Retired After Wave 14**

- 45. When was the interest rate set/locked on this loan?
  - At application
  - Between application and closing
  - Around closing

#### **Questions Retired After Wave 18**

14. How important were each of the following in choosing the lender/mortgage broker you used for the mortgage you took out?

Lender/mortgage broker operates online

- Important
- Not Important
- 45. At the time of application, did the lender give you the option to set/lock the interest rate so that it would not change before closing?
  - Yes
  - No
  - Don't Know
- 52. Did you face any unpleasant "surprises" at your loan closing?
  - Yes
  - No

#### **Questions Retired After Wave 24**

14. How important were each of the following in choosing the mortgage lender/broker you used for the mortgage you took out?

Could provide documents in my primary language, which is not English

- Important
- Not Important
- 20. In the process of getting this mortgage from your mortgage lender/broker, did you...

Get documents in your primary language, which is not English

Have the lender/broker translate in your primary language, which is not English

- Yes
- No
- 39. How did the total amount of your new mortgage(s) compare to the total of the old mortgage(s) and loan(s) you paid off?
  - New amount is lower
  - New amount is about the same

- New amount is higher
- Property was mortgage-free

### **Questions Retired After Wave 34**

- 19. Did the "Your home loan toolkit" booklet lead you to ask additional questions about your mortgage terms?
  - Yes
  - No
- 22. Did the "Loan Estimate" lead you to...

Ask questions of your mortgage lender/broker

Seek a change in your loan or closing

Apply to a different mortgage lender/broker

- Yes
- No
- 35. What percent of the purchase price was the down payment to buy this property (including money from a prior home sale, gifts, etc.)?
  - 0%
  - Less than 3%
  - 3% to less than 5%
  - 5% to less than 10%
  - 10% to less than 20%
  - 20% to less than 30%
  - 30% or more

# Appendix C. NSMO Public Use File Codebook and Tabulations

Because the codebook and tabulations contain a large number of pages, a separate document is available on <a href="https://www.fhfa.gov/nsmodata">www.fhfa.gov/nsmodata</a>.

# **Appendix D. Select Weighted Tabulations, 2013 – 2021**

Because the weighted tabulations contain a large number of pages, a separate document is available on <a href="www.fhfa.gov/nsmodata">www.fhfa.gov/nsmodata</a>.



